arXiv:1407.0890v4 [math.OA] 17 Mar 2015

ENDOMORPHISMS OF SPACES OF VIRTUAL VECTORS FIXED BY A DISCRETE GROUP

FLORIN RĂDULESCU*

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA"

Dedicated to Professor Pierre De La Harpe on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

ABSTRACT. Consider a unitary representation π of a discrete group G, which, when restricted to an almost normal subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq G$, is of type II. We analyze the associated unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ of G on the Hilbert space of "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors, where Γ_0 runs over a suitable class of finite index subgroups of Γ. The unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ of G is uniquely determined by the requirement that the Hecke operators, for all Γ_0, are the "block matrix coefficients" of $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$.

If $\pi|_\Gamma$ is an integer multiple of the regular representation, there exists a subspace L of the Hilbert space of the representation π, acting as a fundamental domain for Γ. In this case, the space of Γ-invariant vectors is identified with L. When $\pi|_\Gamma$ is not an integer multiple of the regular representation, (e.g. if $G = PGL(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, Γ is the modular group, π belongs to the discrete series of representations of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and the Γ-invariant vectors are the cusp forms) we assume that π is the restriction to a subspace H_0 of a larger unitary representation having a subspace L as above.

The operator angle between the projection P_L onto L (typically the characteristic function of the fundamental domain) and the projection P_0 onto the subspace H_0 (typically a Bergman projection onto a space of analytic functions), is the analogue of the space of Γ- invariant vectors.

We prove that the character of the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ is uniquely determined by the character of the representation π.

* Member of the Institute of Mathematics "S. Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy

* Supported in part by PRIN-MIUR, and by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2012-4-0201

* Visiting Professor, Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen

1. Introduction and main results

Let G be a countable discrete group, and let Γ be an almost normal subgroup. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the minimal, intersection lattice of finite index subgroups of Γ, that is closed with respect to the operation

$$\Gamma_0 \to (\Gamma_0)_\sigma = \Gamma_0 \cap \sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1}, \quad \sigma \in G. \tag{1}$$

We assume throughout this paper that the intersection of the subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$ is the identity element.

We consider a unitary (projective) representation π of G in a Hilbert space H. Throughout this paper we make the assumption that $\pi|_\Gamma$ is a multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ ([50], [44]) of Γ (eventually skewed with a cocycle if π is projective). Under this hypothesis, we construct Hilbert spaces H^Γ of Γ-invariant vectors. More generally, we construct Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0} of Γ_0-invariant vectors, when Γ_0 runs over $\mathcal{S}$.

We will refer to vectors as above, for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, as to "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors, as they generally correspond to Γ_0-invariant, densely defined, linear functionals on H. The Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, are not proper subspaces of H; they are located in an enlargement, in the sense of Gelfand triples (see [18]) of the given Hilbert space (see Definition 14). For all subgroups $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$, there exists a canonical isometrical embedding $H^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq H^{\Gamma_1}$.

We exemplify this in the case of the left regular representation λ_Γ of Γ into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(l^2(\Gamma))$ of the Hilbert space $l^2(\Gamma)$. In this case the space of Γ-invariant vectors is the one dimensional space generated by the constant functions on Γ. This space may be considered as a space of densely defined, linear functionals on $l^2(\Gamma)$. In general, in this formalism, $l^2(\Gamma)^{\Gamma_0} = \ell^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)$.

In general, if the unitary representation π into the Hilbert space H is an integer multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ of the discrete group Γ, then there exists a subspace L such that, Γ-equivariantly, we have that

$$H \cong l^2(\Gamma) \otimes L, \quad \pi|_\Gamma \cong \lambda_\Gamma \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L.$$

In this case too, it is obvious that one may identify the space of Γ-invariant vectors with the Hilbert space L. In this identification L is no longer a proper subspace of H. Moreover, L is not unique.

If the unitary representation π is not an integer multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ, we will make use of a subspace L from a larger representation of G, which contains the given representation π as a subrepresentation. In this case we will prove below that the operator angle between the projection

onto the subspace of the subrepresentation and the projection onto L may be used to construct the space of Γ-invariant vectors and its scalar product.

This is analogous to the Petersson scalar product formula ([34]) for automorphic forms, where to introduce the scalar product on automorphic forms which are "virtual" $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-invariant vectors for the restrictions to $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of the representations $\pi_n, n \geqslant 2$ in the discrete series of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, one uses a "measuring scale" consisting of a fundamental domain F for the action of $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper halfplane. The larger unitary representation, containing the representation π_n as a subrepresentation, is obtained as follows: if π_n is realized as unitary representation on a space $H^2(\mathbb{H},\nu_n)$ consisting of square integrable analytic functions with respect to a measure ν_n on $\mathbb{H}$, the the larger unitary representation containing π_n as a subrepresentation is realized on the corresponding Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{H},\nu_n)$. The space of square summable functions supported in F plays the role of the subspace L as above.

Let σ be a group element in G. We use the notation introduced in formula (1). It is obvious that $\sigma\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}\sigma^{-1} = \Gamma_\sigma$. This implies that the representation π induces a unitary transformation, denoted by $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)$ that maps $H^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$ onto H^{Γ_σ}.

The main problem we are approaching in this paper is the analysis of the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$, induced by the unitary representation π on the Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ obtained by taking the inductive limit of the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. The content of the classical Ramanujan-Petersson Problem ([24], [46], [6]) is transformed into a harmonic analysis problem, concerning the weak unitary containment of the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ in the unitary representation obtained by restriction to G, of the left regular representation of the Schlichting completion ([48], [26]) of G with respect to the subgroups in the family $\mathcal{S}$.

The representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is determined by the associated Hecke operators ([23], [24], [45]) at all levels Γ_0 . The Hecke operators are "block-matrix coefficients" of the associated unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$. We are using the notation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ for the representation on the space of all "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ to recall the profinite completion procedure that is used in the construction of this representation. When the initial representation π is projective with cocycle ϵ, and the cocycle ϵ admits an extension to the Schlichting completion $\overline{G}$ introduced below, the construction in this paper works ad litteram in the projective case.

The representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is widely used in a slightly different form in the literature (see e.g. [6], [13], [21]). In this paper we use an operator algebra

construction of $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$. This construction enables us to get unitarily equivalent forms of $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ that are more suitable for the computations of traces.

The main result of this paper is the correspondence between the two representations π and $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$. The representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ has a canonical block matrix structure associated with a given choice of coset representatives for subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$. Consequently, we represent the associated Hecke operators as block matrices, whose entries are "localized sums" over cosets, of the values of original representation π, restricted to the space L introduced above (which is the analogue of a fundamental domain for the action of the group Γ). As corollary we obtain a precise formula relating the characters of π and $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$.

To establish a relation between the two representations, we introduce a summability condition, which warrants the convergence of the sums for the matrix entries in the representations that we are obtaining for the Hecke operators.

Definition 1. Let π_0 be a unitary representation of G, such that $\pi_0|_\Gamma$ is a (not necessary integer) multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ. We assume that there exists a unitary representation π of G into the unitary group of a Hilbert space H containing H_0, with the following properties:
(i) $\pi|_\Gamma$ is an integer multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ. Consequently, there exists a Hilbert subspace L of H such that

$$H \cong l^2(\Gamma) \otimes L, \quad \pi|_\Gamma \cong \lambda_\Gamma \otimes {\rm Id}_L.$$

(ii) Let e be the identity element of the group G. We denote the orthogonal projection from H onto $L \cong \mathbb{C}e \otimes L$ by P_L. We assume that the projections in the family $\{\pi(g)P_L\pi(g^{-1})|g \in G\}$ form a commutative family.
(iii) Assume that π_0 is a subrepresentation of π. Consequently $H_0 \subseteq H$ is $\pi(G)$-invariant and π_0 is the restriction of π to H_0. Denote by P_0 the orthogonal projection from H onto H_0. Clearly, in this case we have $\pi_0(g) = P_0\pi(g)P_0$, $g \in G$.
(iv) The product of the operators P_0 and P_L is trace class.
(v) For every g in G and Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$, the following sum, over the coset $\Gamma_0 g$,

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma_0 g} P_L\pi_0(\theta)P_L, \tag{2}$$

is convergent in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators $\mathcal{C}_2(L)$.
(vi) The sum of traces of the operators in the sum in formula (2) is absolutely convergent. The sum in formula (2) is a trace class operator, whose trace is equal to the sum of traces of the operators in the sum.

(vii) The Murray von Neumann dimension number $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H_0$ ([50], [44], [25]) is a finite, strictly positive number.

The condition (vii) above may be interpreted as saying that H_0 is a finitely generated left Hilbert module over the representation $\pi_0|_\Gamma$, which is a multiple of the the left regular representation of Γ.

In the main examples considered in this paper, where the representations π_0 are obtained from unitary representations in the discrete series of (projective) unitary of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ by restriction to $G = PGL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, the conditions (iii), (iv) are a consequence of the computations in [53]. The condition (ii) is automatic if the representation π comes from a Koopman unitary representation. Indeed, in this case the projection P_L is the operator of multiplication with the characteristic function of a fundamental domain for Γ. Furthermore, the projections in the family are the operators of multiplication by the characteristic functions of translations of the fundamental domain with elements in G.

A unitary representation π_0 of G as above determines, in a canonical way, a unitary representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ of the Schlichtling completion ([48]) $\overline{G}$ of G, with respect to the subgroups in the family $\mathcal{S}$. The unitary representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ acts on $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$, the Hilbert space completion of the inductive limit of the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. This construction is rigorously presented in Theorem 33. The following result gives an explicit description of the block matrix coefficients of the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$. These are Hecke operators corresponding to subgroups $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ associated with the original unitary representation π_0. This representation is used to compute the character of the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$. In the following theorem, we denote $(\Gamma_0)_\sigma = \sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\sigma \in G$.

Theorem 2. *Let π_0 be a unitary representation of G into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(H_0)$ of a Hilbert space H_0. Assume that π_0 verifies the technical condition from Definition 1. Let $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ be the unitary representation of $\overline{G}$ introduced above.*

For every Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$, fix a family of coset representatives for Γ_0 in Γ so that $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]} \Gamma_0 s_i$. We represent $B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma))$ by the matrix unit

$$(e_{\Gamma_0 s_i}, e_{\Gamma_0 s_j})_{i,j=1,2,\ldots,[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}.$$

For $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\sigma \in G$, the Hecke operators associated to π_0 that correspond to the double coset $\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0$ are:

$$[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma] P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}. \tag{3}$$

Using the unitary equivalence

$$B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)) \otimes B(L) \cong B(H^{\Gamma_0}),$$

and the above choice of a matrix unit, the Hecke operators in formula (3) are unitarily equivalent to the operators in $B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)) \otimes B(L)$ given by

$$\sum_{i,j} \sum_{\theta \in s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0 s_j} P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L \otimes e_{\Gamma_0 s_i, \Gamma_0 s_j}. \tag{4}$$

Formula (4) allows us to immediately conclude the following:

Corollary 3. *For $\sigma \in G, \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, the trace of the Hecke operator introduced in formula (3) is computed by*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big([\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma] P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}\big] = \sum_{s_i} \sum_{\theta \in s_i \Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0 s_i^{-1}} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L). \tag{5}$$

When specializing for example to the case $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, formula (4) gives the following:

Consider the case when $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H_0$ is finite. The space H_0^Γ of "virtual" vectors, invariant to the unitary representation $\pi_0|_\Gamma$ acting on H_0, is unitarily equivalent to the range of the projection

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,L} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_L \pi_0(\gamma) P_L \in B(L),$$

which is a finite dimensional subspace of L. The same unitary equivalence transforms the Hecke operator corresponding to a coset $\Gamma\sigma\Gamma$ and acting on "virtual" Γ-invariant operators into the operator

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma\sigma\Gamma} P_L \pi_0(\gamma) P_L \in B(L), \quad \sigma \in G.$$

The convergence in the above formulae is a consequence of the technical assumption introduced in Definition 1.

As a corollary of formula (5), we obtain a formula for the character ([22], [47], [12], [17]) of the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$. This proves that the character of $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ is determined by the positive definite function ϕ_0 on G, given by

$$\phi_0(g) = \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(g) P_L), \quad g \in G. \tag{6}$$

We use the notations and definitions from the statement of Theorem 2. We assume that $\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}}$, the character of the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ of $\overline{G}$, is locally integrable with respect to Haar measure on $\overline{G}$. Recall that by [12], formula (13), (for a different proof see also Lemma 6), we have

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}}(\sigma) = \lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}). \tag{7}$$

For every $g \in G$, let Γ_g^{st} be the normalizer group of g in Γ defined by

$$\Gamma_g^{\mathrm{st}} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | \gamma g = g\gamma\}.$$

Using the formulae (5) and (7) we obtain:

Corollary 4. *The value of the character $\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}}$ at an element $\sigma \in G$ is computed by the formula:*

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}}(\sigma) = \lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]} \sum_{\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]} \Gamma_0 s_i} \sum_{\theta \in s_i^{-1} \Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0 s_i} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L). \tag{8}$$

If the group Γ_g^{st} is trivial then

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}}(g) = \Big[\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_g]} \Big] \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\gamma g \gamma^{-1})), g \in G. \tag{9}$$

Under suitable conditions, the term on the right hand side of equation (9) coincides with the character of the unitary representation π_0. Assume there exists a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$, extending π_0 to a a locally compact group $\overline{G}^R$, that contains G as a dense subgroup Then, the formula for the trace character of the representation $\overline{\pi}_0$ depends only on the trace character of a representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$.

Lemma 5. *Consider a unitary representation π_0 verifying the conditions in Definition 1. We also consider a larger locally compact group $\overline{G}^R$, containing G as a dense subgroup, and such that Γ is a lattice in $\overline{G}^R$. Assume the following conditions:*

(i) π_0 extends to a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$ of $\overline{G}^R$ into the unitary group of H. Moreover, the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$ has a locally integrable character with respect to Haar measure on $\overline{G}^R$, denoted by "$\mathrm{Tr}(\overline{\pi}_0^R(\cdot))$"=$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^R}$.
(ii) The representation π from Definition 1 also extends to a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^R$ of $\overline{G}^R$, and the equality $\overline{\pi}_0^R(g) = P_0\overline{\pi}^R P_0$ holds for $g \in \overline{G}^R$.
(iii) The set of elements $g \in \overline{G}^R$ with non-trivial group Γ_g^{st} has zero measure with respect to Haar measure on $\overline{G}^R$.

Then
(i) For any element $g \in G$ as in assumption (ii), we have

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^R}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{B(L)}(P_L \pi_0(\gamma g \gamma^{-1}) P_L). \tag{10}$$

(ii) The character of the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}_0$ restricted to G (with the exception of the points $g \in G$ such that Γ_g^{st} is non-trivial) depends only on the character of the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$.

Remark 6. We consider the sum appearing in formula (8)

$$\phi_{\Gamma_0}(\sigma) = \sum_{\Gamma \overset{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} \Gamma_0 s_i} \sum_{\theta \in s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0 s_i} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L), \quad \sigma \in G. \tag{11}$$

When $\sigma = e$ and Γ_0 is normal subgroup, this term is equal to

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \sum_{\Gamma = \overset{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} \Gamma_0 s_i} \sum_{\theta \in \Gamma_0} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L) = [\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_0(\gamma) P_L).$$

Normalizing and taking the limit

$$\Phi_{\pi_0}(\sigma) = \lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \frac{\phi_{\Gamma_0}(\sigma)}{\dim H^{\Gamma_0}}, \quad \sigma \in G,$$

we obtain a character of the group G of the type considered in [33], [16], [9], [8] [52].

The characters we obtain in formula Corollary 4 are of a different nature: they take infinite value at the identity and possibly take infinite value at other elements of the group.

We exemplify below the content of Theorem 2 and of Corollary 4, in the particular case where the Γ-invariant vectors are the automorphic forms. We take $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime number, Γ the modular group, and

the representation $\pi_0 = \pi_n|_G, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2$ is obtained by restricting to G a (projective) unitary representation in the analytic, discrete series $(\pi_n)_{n\geqslant 2}$ of the semisimple Lie group $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Let F be a fundamental domain for the action of the modular group on the upper halfplane. Let $\nu_0 = (\mathrm{Im}z)^{-2}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\mathrm{d}z$ be the canonical measure on $\mathbb{H}$, that is invariant to the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ by Moebius transforms. Then, the projection P_L introduced in Definition 1, is the operator M_{χ_F} of multiplication with the characteristic function of F, on $H = L^2(\mathbb{H}, (\mathrm{Im}z)^{-2}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\mathrm{d}z)$. The unitary representation π is the Koopman unitary representation of G on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, (\mathrm{Im}z)^{-2}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\mathrm{d}z)$, corresponding to the action of G on $\mathbb{H}$ (see Examples 28 and 29).

In this particular case the projection P_0 is the Bergman projection onto the Hilbert space of the representation π_n, which is the space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{H}$, square summable with respect to the measure $\nu_n = (\mathrm{Im}z)^{n-2}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\mathrm{d}z$. Moreover, the technical condition (v) from Definition 1 is equivalent to the L^2-convergence condition for Berezin's reproducing kernels ([3]) of the operators in the sum. This condition holds true in the particular case described here, because of the computations in [53] and [19], Section 3.3.

For a bounded operator A on the Hilbert space H_n, we denote by $\widehat{A}(\bar{z},\zeta)$, $z,\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ its Berezin symbol ([3]). Formula (9) implies in this case

Corollary 7. *Let σ be an element in G with trivial group $\Gamma_\sigma^{\mathrm{st}}$. Then*

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi}_n^{\mathrm{p}}}(\sigma) = \Big[\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_g]}\Big] \int\limits_{\mathbb{H}} \widehat{\pi_n(\sigma)}(\bar{z},z)\mathrm{d}\nu_0(z) \tag{12}$$

$$= \Big[\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]}\Big] \int\limits_{\mathbb{H}} \frac{1}{\sigma z - \overline{z}}\mathrm{d}\nu_0(z) = \Big[\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]}\Big]\theta_{\pi_n}(\sigma).$$

The fact that the term $\int\limits_{\mathbb{H}} \widehat{\pi_n(\sigma)}(\bar{z},z)\mathrm{d}\nu_0(z)$, in the above formula, is the character θ_{π_n} of the representation π_n is proved in [32], using Berezin's quantization. In this context, the formula for the sum in (9) is computed, by a different method, in [53].

In the last section, we apply the construction of Γ-invariant "virtual" vectors from the previous theorem, to diagonal representations of G of the form $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$, acting on the Hilbert space H_0. Here π_0^{op} is the complex, conjugate representation associated to the representation π_0. We use a unitary equivalent representation of the Hilbert spaces consisting of Γ-invariant vectors.

This representation is unitarily equivalent to the unitary representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0$, acting on the Hilbert space consisting of the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt

operators $\mathcal{C}_2(H_0) \subseteq B(H_0)$. The space $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ of "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors is the von Neumann algebra of operators $X \in B(H_0)$ that commute with $\pi_0(\Gamma_0)$. This algebra is (see Example 30) the commutant algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_0} = \{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}'$.

For a type II_1 factor M with trace τ, we denote the Hilbert space associated to τ through the GNS construction ([50]) by $L^2(M, \tau)$. This Hilbert space is obtained, via hilbertian completion, from the scalar product induced by the trace on the vector space M. By assumption, $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}} H_0$ is finite, hence we conclude ([50]) that $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_0}$ is a type II von Neumann algebra. Then the Hilbert space of Γ_0-invariant vectors is the L^2 space $L^2(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_0}, \tau)$ associated to the type II_1 von Neumann factor $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma_0}$. The inductive limit of these Hilbert spaces, when Γ_0 runs over $\mathcal{S}$, has a natural interpretation in terms of the Jones basic construction (Example 30, see also the construction in [40]).

This is particularly interesting when π_0 is the representation π_n mentioned above, ($G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime, Γ the modular group, π_n obtained, by restriction to G, from the discrete series of unitary representations $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$). Consider the unitary Koopman representation π_{Koop} (see Example 28) associated to the measure preserving action of G on $\mathbb{H}$, endowed with the measure ν_0 introduced above.

Then, by [42] or by Berezin's quantization theory ([3], see also [36]), we have, up to unitary conjugation that

$$\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}} \cong \pi_n \otimes \pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}, \quad n \geqslant 2. \tag{13}$$

Because of the above equivalence, the analysis of the representation of G on the spaces of "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors for the representation $\pi_n \otimes \pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}$ leads to the analysis of the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in S$, for the Koopman representation, and of the corresponding unitary action of G on these spaces. The latter representation corresponds to the action of the Hecke operators on Maass forms ([31]). Using this method, we obtain in Section 7 (see also [36]) concrete algebraic formulae relating matrix coefficients of the representation π_n with the expression of the Hecke operators associated to π_{Koop}.

This method is useful in understanding the Koopman unitary representation π_{Koop}, from which the action of the Hecke operators on Maass forms is derived. We are exploiting a natural "square root" of the representation π_{Koop}, given by the representation in the discrete series, as in formula (13). The representation $\pi_n \otimes \pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}$ is more malleable to handle, since using the operator algebra interpretation, the Hilbert spaces of "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, are canonically defined. We explain this construction below.

Let $\mathcal{R}(G)$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by right convolution operators $\rho(g), g \in G$, acting on $\ell^2(G)$. This is the commutant algebra of the algebra of left convolutors $\mathcal{L}(G)$ generated by the left convolution operators $\lambda_g, g \in G$, acting on the same Hilbert space.

We consider the following algebras associated with the inclusions, $\Gamma \subseteq G$, $K \subseteq \overline{G}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0(K, \overline{G}) = \mathbb{C}(K\backslash\overline{G}/K)$ be the algebra of double cosets of K in G ([1], [28]). We denote the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma\backslash G/\Gamma)$ generated by double cosets of Γ in G by $\mathcal{H}_0(G, \Gamma)$. The latter algebra is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(K, \overline{G})$. The Hecke algebra has a natural involution operation which is inducing a $*$-algebra structure.

The Hecke algebra has a canonical, faithful $*$- representation ([7]) into $B(\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$. We denote the elements of the standard basis of $\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ by $[\Gamma\sigma]$, $\sigma \in G$. The hypothesis $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma] = [\Gamma : \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}]$, $\sigma \in G$, that we assumed on the equality of the indices has the effect that the state $\langle \cdot [\Gamma], [\Gamma] \rangle$, which is defined on $B(\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$, restricts to a trace on the image of the Hecke algebra. The reduced C^*-algebra of the Hecke algebra is the norm closure, in the representation into $B(\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(G, \Gamma)$. It will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(G, \Gamma)$. Clearly $\mathcal{H}_0(G, \Gamma) \cong \mathcal{H}_0(K, \overline{G})$.

The C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G})$ contains a canonical operator system ([35]), associated to the maximal compact subgroup K which we introduce in the next definition. We will explain below that $*$-representations of this operator system, as in formula (18) in Lemma 9, encode all the information about the representation π_0. These morphisms are the basic building blocks of the Hecke algebra representation associated to a representation of the form $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ (see Theorem 10 and Theorem 12). The essential tool for analyzing unitary representations of G of the form $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op} \cong {\rm Ad}\, \pi_0$ is a canonical representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(G, \Gamma)$ into $\mathcal{R}(G) \otimes B(L)$ that will be described below.

Definition 8. Let $L(K, \overline{G}) = \mathbb{C}(\chi_{\sigma K} | \sigma \in G)$ be the linear subspace of $C^*(\overline{G})$ generated by the characteristic functions of right cosets. Then $L(K, \overline{G})^* = \mathbb{C}(\chi_{K\sigma} | \sigma \in G)$. We also consider the space

$$\widetilde{L}(K, \overline{G}) = L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu) L(K, \overline{G}) \subseteq C^*(\overline{G} \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)).$$

We consider the following operator systems ([35]) :

$$\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G}) = L(K, \overline{G}) \cdot (L(K, \overline{G}))^* \subseteq C^*(\overline{G}), \tag{14}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(K, \overline{G}) = \widetilde{L}(K, \overline{G}) \cdot (\widetilde{L}(K, \overline{G}))^* \subseteq C^*(\overline{G} \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)).$$

In the above formula the product is calculated in the C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G})$ and, respectively, in the C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G} \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu))$.

Then, clearly:

(i) $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ is linearly generated by the characteristic functions of the form $\chi_{\sigma_1 K \sigma_2},\ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$.

(ii) $L(K, \overline{G})$ and $(L(K, \overline{G}))^*$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$.

(iii) We have a canonical pairing

$$L(K, \overline{G}) \times L(K, \overline{G}) \to \mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G}). \tag{15}$$

The pairing maps $x, y \in L(K, \overline{G})$ into $xy^* \in \mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a C^*-algebra. We will say that a linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G}) \to \mathcal{E}$ is a $*$-representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ if, by definition

$$\Phi(xy^*) = \Phi(x)(\Phi(y))^*, \quad x, y \in L(K, \overline{G}).$$

A $*$-representation for the operator system $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(K, \overline{G})$ will have to be in addition linear as a bimodule over the algebra $L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)$.

The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(K, \overline{G})$ is the intersection $L(K, \overline{G}) \cap (L(K, \overline{G})^*$. Clearly it is closed with respect to the above pairing operation. Obviously, a $*$-representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ becomes, when restricted to the Hecke algebra, a $*$-algebra representation.

Let G, Γ, π, π_0, P_0, P_L be as in Definition 1. We assume, for simplicity of the presentation, for the following results, that the groups Γ, G have infinite, non-trivial conjugacy classes. This assumption implies that the von Neumann algebras associated below with the above groups have unique traces.

We construct $*$-representations of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ that take values in the associated von Neumann algebras described below. We consider the following von Neumann algebras:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\pi(\Gamma)\}' \cong \mathcal{R}(\Gamma) \,\overline{\otimes}\, B(L) \subseteq \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}(G) \,\overline{\otimes}\, B(L), \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \pi_0(\Gamma)' = P_0 \mathcal{A} P_0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are type II von Neumann algebras. If the space L is infinite, then $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are type II_∞ von Neumann algebras. In the representation for $\{\pi(\Gamma)\}'$ introduced in formula (16), the projection P_0, which by hypothesis commutes with $\pi(\Gamma)$ and thus belongs to $\mathcal{A}$, has the formula:

$$P_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rho(\gamma) \otimes P_L \pi_0(\gamma) P_L \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{A}). \tag{17}$$

For a given von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, endowed with a faithful, semifinite trace $T = T_{\mathcal{M}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M})$ the ideal of trace class operators

associated to $\mathcal{M}$ ([50]). If $\mathcal{M}$ is a type II_∞ von Neumann algebra endowed with faithful semifinite trace T, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}, T)$ the ideal of trace class operators in $\mathcal{M}$ ([50]). If the dependence on the trace T is contextual, we write just $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M})$.

We associate to the unitary representation π_0 a $*$-representation of the operator system constructed in Definition 8.

Lemma 9. *We assume that G, Γ, π_0, P_0, P_L are as in Definition 1. For a coset $C = g\overline{\Gamma}_0$ in $\overline{G}$ define, in analogy with formula (17),*

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(C) = \sum_{\theta \in C} \rho(\theta) \otimes P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{B}).$$

Then
(i) The application $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ restricted to $\mathcal{O}(K, G)$ is a $*$-representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, G)$. In particular

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{\sigma_1 K})\big[\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{\sigma_2 K})\big]^* = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{\sigma_1 K \sigma_2^{-1}}), \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G. \tag{18}$$

(ii) Because of formula (17), we have $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_K) = P_0$. Hence, because of (i),

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{\sigma_1 K}) = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{\sigma_1 K}) P_0,$$
$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{K\sigma_1}) = P_0 \widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_{K\sigma_1}), \quad \sigma_1 \in G.$$

(iii) Because of (ii), the restriction $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ to the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(K, \overline{G}) \cong \mathcal{H}_0(\Gamma, G)$ takes values in the algebra $\mathcal{A}_0 = P_0 \mathcal{B} P_0$.
(iv) $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}|_{\mathcal{H}_0(K,\overline{G})}$ is trace preserving, and hence it extends continuously to C^*-representation of the reduced C^*-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}}(\Gamma, G)$ with values in $\mathcal{A}_0$.

The $*$-algebra representation of the Hecke algebra from point (iii) is used to describe the Hecke operators on Γ-invariant vectors associated to the diagonal unitary representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$ of G. As explained above, the latter representation is unitarily equivalent to $\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi_0$, and the Hilbert space of Γ-invariant vectors is canonically identified to the L^2 space ([50]) associated to the commutant von Neumann algebra $\{\pi_n(\Gamma)\}'$. The formula of the Hecke operators is computed in the next theorem. The case where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L = 1$ was used in [Ra] to obtain estimates on the essential spectrum of Hecke operators acting on Maass forms. The general case of arbitrary Murray von Neumann dimension is treated in [37].

The Hecke operators are automatically completely positive maps. They are obtained using von Neumann algebras expectations ([44], [50]). Let

$$E^{P_0(\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L))P_0}_{P_0(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L))P_0}$$

be the canonical normal conditional expectation, mapping the type II_1 factor $P_0(\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L))P_0$ onto the subfactor $P_0(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L))P_0$.

Theorem 10. *We use the definitions and notations introduced above. The Hecke operator $\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])$, associated to the representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0$, corresponding to a coset $[\Gamma\sigma\Gamma]$ for σ in G, is a selfadjoint operator acting on the space $L^2(\mathcal{A}_0,\tau) = L^2(\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}',\tau)$.*

Then, the formula for $\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])$ is determined by its values on the algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$. For $\sigma\in G$, the Hecke operator $\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])$ associates to

$$X\in\pi_0(\Gamma)' = \mathcal{A}_0 = P_0\mathcal{B}P_0 = P_0(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L))P_0$$

the operator

$$\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])(X) = E^{P_0(\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L))P_0}_{P_0(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L))P_0}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma)X(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma))^*). \tag{19}$$

This is Theorem 3.2 in [37], generalizing results in [36]. The statement is adapted to the framework of the present paper. The present formalism proves that once a choice for the space L has been made, the Hecke algebra representation is canonical.

We construct below a canonical $*$-representation $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(K,\overline{G})$ into a canonical "double" algebra (see below) such that all the representations of the Hecke algebra, as in formula (19), are obtained by composing $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$ with a quotient map.

We will perform the construction of the representation $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$ only in the case where the Neumann dimension $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma\}''}H_0$ is equal to 1. In this context $\mathcal{A}_0$ is simply the algebra $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$. The argument may be easily extended to cover the case of von Neumann dimension larger than 1, but the formulae become more complicated.

The fact that the von Neumann dimension of the type II von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi_0(\Gamma)$ is 1 means that the representation $\pi_0|_\Gamma$ admits a cyclic trace vector ζ. In this case, the $*$-representation $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ introduced in Definition 9 is replaced by a representation t, constructed below, of the canonical system introduced in Lemma 8, taking values in $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Recall that the algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is anti-isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(G)$.

We define, for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$, and for a subset of G of the form $A = \sigma_1 \Gamma \sigma_2$,

$$t(\sigma_1 K \sigma_2) = \sum_{\theta \in A} \overline{\langle \pi_0(\theta)\zeta, \zeta \rangle} \lambda_\theta \in \mathcal{L}(G). \tag{20}$$

Then, by Lemma 9, letting the space L be $\mathbb{C}\zeta$, we infer (see Remark 42) that t defines a $*$-representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, G)$ with values in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$ (the complex conjugation in formula (20) is due to the fact that, via the canonical anti-isomorphism, we are switching from the algebra $\mathcal{R}(G)$ to the algebra $\mathcal{L}(G)$).

The Hecke algebra $*$-representation Ψ, introduced in formula (19), takes now values in $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ and is given by the formula:

$$\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])(X) = E^{\mathcal{L}(G)}_{\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)}(t(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma)X(t(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma))^*), \quad \sigma \in G. \tag{21}$$

The linear operator $\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])$, $\sigma \in G$ extends obviously to the GNS space $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ (see e.g. [50]), taken with respect the canonical trace.

The Hecke operators $\Psi([\Gamma\sigma\Gamma])$, $\sigma \in G$ are all completely positive and unital. Hence the representation in formula (21) extends, by forgetting the algebra structure on the range space, to a $*$-representation Ψ_0 of $\mathcal{H}_0(G, \Gamma)$ with values into $B(\ell^2(\Gamma) \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$. As explained in Example 29 (see also [36], [40]), the validity of the Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture on Maass forms, in the particular example $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, is equivalent to the fact that the representation Ψ_0 extends to a representation of the reduced C^*-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}}(G, \Gamma)$.

We will prove that the representation Ψ of the Hecke algebra introduced in formula (21) is obtained from a canonical representation $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$ of the Hecke algebra.

We introduce the following two crossed product C^* -algebras. They are a two variable extension of the Roe algebras ([43], [6]).

Definition 11. We consider the following crossed product C^*-algebras:

$$\mathcal{DR}(G) = \chi_K(C^*((G \times G^{\mathrm{op}}) \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)))\chi_K, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{DR}(\overline{G}) = \chi_K(C^*((\overline{G} \times \overline{G}^{\mathrm{op}}) \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)))\chi_K \tag{23}$$

The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{DR}(G)$ has a canonical, Koopman type, representation α into $B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ obtained as follows: let $G \times G^{\mathrm{op}}$ act as groupoid on Γ by left and right multiplication, the operation being defined whenever the result of the

multiplication belongs to Γ. On the other hand $C(K)$ acts by multiplication on $l^\infty(\Gamma)$ and hence on $\ell^2(\Gamma)$.

We observe that both algebras introduced in the above definition are corners (reduced by the projection $\chi_K \in L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)$) in the larger crossed product C^*-algebras, corresponding to the measure preserving actions of $G \times G^{\rm op}$ and respectively $\overline{G} \times \overline{G}^{\rm op}$ on $\overline{G}$. Since the action is measure preserving, the two algebras have obvious reduced crossed product C^*-algebra counterparts.

In the following statement, we prove that the Hecke algebra representation Ψ introduced in formula (21), which is in fact the Hecke algebra representation associated with the representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$, is obtained from an intrinsic representation of the Hecke algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{D}\Phi$, with values in the "double" algebra $\mathcal{DR}(G)$. To obtain the Hecke algebra representation Ψ, one composes the $*$-algebra representation $\mathcal{D}\Phi$ with the Koopmann type representation α of the algebra $\mathcal{DR}(G)$ introduced in Definition 11.

Since the $*$-algebra representation $\mathcal{D}\Phi$ extends (as it preserves the trace) to the reduced C^*-Hecke algebra, the obstruction (if any) to extending the representation Ψ_0 to the reduced C^*-algebra Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(G, \Gamma)$ (which, as explained above, in the case of the representation π_n, is the obstruction for the Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture on Maass forms to hold true, ([36])), lies in the kernel of the above canonical representation α, eventually intersected with the image of $D\Phi$.

If f is a function on $\overline{G}$, we denote the corresponding convolution element in $C^*(\overline{G})$ by $L(f)$. We have:

Theorem 12. *The following statements hold true:*
(i) The correspondence

$$[K\sigma K] \to \chi_K(L(\chi_{K\sigma K}) \otimes L(\chi_{K\sigma K})^{\rm op})\chi_K, \quad \sigma \in G,$$

extends by linearity to a $*$-algebra representation $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(K, G)$ into $\mathcal{DR}(\overline{G})$. This representation is trace preserving, and hence it obviously extends to $\mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(\Gamma, G)$ when values are considered in the reduced crossed product C^*-algebra associated with $\mathcal{DR}(\overline{G})$.
(ii) The $*$-representation t of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$, introduced in formula (20), extends to a $\mathcal{DR}(G)$-valued $*$-representation t_2 of an operator system $\mathcal{O}$ contained in $\mathcal{DR}(\overline{G})$. The operator system $\mathcal{O}$ contains the image of $\overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$.
(iii) The composition $\mathcal{D}\Phi = t_2 \circ \overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}$, mapping

$$[\Gamma\sigma\Gamma] \to \chi_K(t(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma) \otimes (t(\Gamma\sigma\Gamma))^{\rm op})\chi_K,$$

extends to a $*$-algebra representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}}(\Gamma, G)$ into the reduced crossed product C^*-algebra associated with $\mathcal{DR}(\overline{G})$.
(iv) Let α be as in Definition 22, and let Ψ be the Hecke algebra introduced in formula (21). Then

$$\Psi = \alpha \circ \mathcal{D}\Phi = \alpha \circ t_2 \circ \overline{\mathcal{D}\Phi}. \tag{24}$$

Acknowledgement We are deeply indebted to R. Grigorchuk, A. Lubotzky and L. Păunescu for encouraging us into writing this paper. We are deeply indebted to U. Haagerup, P. De La Harpe, P. Kutzko, H. Moscovici, R. Nest, T. Steger, Paul Garett, Vicentiu Paşol, Alexandru Popa, and the user plusepsilon.de on mathoverflow for several comments on questions related to this paper. We are deeply indebted to R. Grigorchuk and Tatiana Smirnova-Nagnibeda for discussions around the topic of this paper, and in particular on central characters of group. We are deeply indebted to J. Petersson and A. Thom for explaining the signification of the results [33]. We are deeply indebted to N. Ozawa for providing to the author for publication, his edited notes ([38]), on the author's paper [36]. We are deeply indebted to F. Boca for comments on this paper, and for helping the author improve the style of the presentation. The author is thanking the Department of Mathematics in Copenhagen, for the warm welcome during the last stage of this work.

2. Outline of the paper

We outline the construction of Hilbert spaces of "virtual" Γ-invariant vectors and of the unitary action of G on the inductive limit of these spaces. We recall from introduction that Γ is an almost normal subgroup of G: that is, for all σ in G, the group $\Gamma_\sigma = \sigma\Gamma\sigma^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ has finite index in Γ. We assume in this paper that, for all $\sigma \in G$, the values of the group indices $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma]$ and $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}]$ are equal. This hypothesis is automatic if Γ is a group with infinite non-trivial conjugacy classes, in the presence of the representation π_0 as in Definition 1 (e.g. by Jones's index theory [25]). We also assume that the family $\mathcal{S}$, generated through the intersection operation by the subgroups $\Gamma_\sigma, \sigma \in G$, separates points of Γ. Let K be the profinite completion of Γ, with respect to the family $\mathcal{S}$.

We first consider a unitary representation π of G having properties (i), (ii) from Definition 1. In this case $\pi|_\Gamma$ is an integer multiple of the regular representation. To construct Γ-invariant vectors, we assume that H is contained in a larger vector space $\mathcal{V}$, and that the representation π extends to a representation $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ of G into the linear isomorphism group of $\mathcal{V}$. We assume

that the space $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma}$, consisting of vectors in $\mathcal{V}$ fixed by $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(\Gamma)$, is non-trivial. To construct the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$, one considers simultaneously the spaces $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ of vectors in $\mathcal{V}$ fixed by the action of $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(\Gamma_0)$, where Γ_0 is any group conjugated in G to a subgroup in $\mathcal{S}$. Note that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma)$, for σ in G, will move the vector space $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ into $\mathcal{V}^{\sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1}}$.

We construct a Hilbert space structure on the spaces $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$, which determines Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0} of Γ_0-fixed vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. On the scalar product on the spaces of invariant vectors, we impose the condition that the inclusions

$$H^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq H^{\Gamma_1}, \quad \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0,$$

are isometric, and that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(\sigma)$ maps $H^{\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$ isometrically onto $H^{\sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1} \cap \Gamma_\sigma}$, for $\sigma \in G$.

Using this procedure, we obtain in formula (35) a prehilbertian space structure on the reunion $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$. Let $\overline{H}^{\rm p}$ be the Hilbert space completion of the reunion. Clearly $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ induces a representation of G into the isomorphisms of $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$.

The conditions we are imposing on the scalar products on the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in S$ imply that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ induces an unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ of G into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\overline{H}^{\rm p})$ of the Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\rm p}$. The notation $\overline{\cdot}^{\rm p}$ over π and H, stands for the above completion operation, consisting into passing from π to the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ on Hilbert space completion of the reunion of the space of Γ_0 invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$.

We recall that the Schlichting completion $\overline{G}$ (see e.g. [48], [51], [26], [30]) of the discrete group G with respect to the subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$ is the locally compact, totally disconnected group obtained as the disjoint union of the double cosets $K\sigma K$, with the obvious multiplication relation, where $\Gamma\sigma\Gamma$ runs over a set of representatives for double cosets for Γ in G (see also [4], [7], [21]).

Let dimension $d_\pi = \dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the von Neumann dimension of H as a module over the type II factor $\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''$ (see [19]), section 3.3 for definitions and notations). We prove (Lemma 26) that the left regular representation λ_K of K into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(L^2(K,\mu))$ has multiplicity d_π in $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}|_K$.

Let μ be the normalized Haar measure on K and extend it on the locally compact group $\overline{G}$ to the Haar measure μ, normalized by $\mu(K) = 1$. The assumption that $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma] = [\Gamma : \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}]$ for all σ in G, implies that the measure μ is bivariant under left and right translations by elements in the group $\overline{G}$.

We extend the construction of the above unitary representation to the case where π_0 is a unitary representation as in Definition 1. In this case the unitary representation $\pi_0|_\Gamma$ is no longer a multiple of the left regular representation of Γ, but it is a subrepresentation of a larger representation π with the above properties.

We prove that the above construction that we performed to obtain the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ may be repeated for π_0. We obtain a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ of $\overline{G}$ that is associated with the action of the initial group G on the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. The representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ naturally extends to the C^*-algebra of the locally compact group $\overline{G}$. It also extends to a C^*-algebra representation of the full C^*-algebra associated simultaneously with the groups G and $\overline{G}$ (see Definition 16).

In Theorem 34, we note that "block matrix coefficients" of the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ are Hecke operators associated to the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$, corresponding to level Γ_0, where the group $\Gamma_0\mathcal{S}$ is determined by the size of the "block". We use this in Theorem 2 to determine the explicit formula (see formula (4)) of the Hecke operators in terms of the data from the representation π_0.

One outcome of this paper is the relation between the representations π_0 and $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$. The representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ is a type I representation of the group $\overline{G}$. Hence, it has an associated character ([22], [47], [17]), which we denote by $\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}}$= "Tr" $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$. In Corollary 4, we prove that the values of the character $\theta_{\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}}(g)$ computed at $g \in G$, are determined by summing over cosets the values of a positive definite function ϕ on G, introduced in formula (6). The same positive definite function also determines the formula of "Tr $\pi_0(g)$" of the original representation π_0.

The basic model of unitary representations as above is the representation of the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors, in the case of the left regular representation λ_G of G into $\mathcal{U}(l^2(G))$ (a more detailed exposition Example 27 in Section 4). In this case the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, are the Hilbert spaces $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$ with scalar product normalized, so that the inclusions $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash G)$ are isometric for $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$. The Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\rm p}$ is simply $L^2(\overline{G}, \mu)$, and in this particular case the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$ is simply the left regular representation of $\overline{G}$ into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\overline{G}, \mu))$.

We recall from the introduction that the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(\Gamma, G)$ of double cosets of Γ in G has a canonical $*$-algebra embedding into $B(\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$. The closure in the uniform norm is a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(\Gamma, G)$ called the reduced C^*-Hecke algebra, by analogy with reduced C^*-algebra of a discrete

group ([7], [20],[51], [2], [14]). The representation

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(\Gamma, G) \subseteq B(\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G))$$

is called the left regular representation of the Hecke algebra. The commutant is generated by the right quasi-regular representation $\rho_{\Gamma\backslash G}$ of G into the unitary group of $\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ (see e.g. [7]).

The content of the Ramanujan-Petersson Problem is the determination of bounds on the growth of matrix coefficients and eigenvalues for representations of the Hecke algebra, on Hilbert spaces of Γ-invariant vectors associated to unitary representations π of G as above. The Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture is asking in fact (see [36]) when the matrix coefficients of the representation of $\mathcal{H}_0(\Gamma, G)$, associated to the unitary representation π, are weakly limits of convex combinations of matrix coefficients of the Hecke algebra coming from left regular representation of the Hecke algebra.

In the terminology of this paper this is equivalent to determining when the spherical functions (matrix coefficients corresponding to vectors fixed by K) associated to the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\rm p}$ of $\overline{G}$ are weakly contained in the left regular representation of the Hecke algebra. Clearly, this is equivalent to the weak containment of the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\rm p}$ in the left regular representation of $\overline{G}$, acting by left translations on $L^2(\overline{G}, \mu)$. The Ramanujan-Petersson Conjecture has been proven to hold true for automorphic forms by Deligne ([15]). For Maass forms ([31]), the general problem is open (see [49], [46], [24],[11], [36]). We formulate the following problem:

Problem. *[Generalized Ramanujan-Petersson problem]: Determine conditions on the representation π_0 such that $\bar{\pi_0}^{\rm p}$ is weakly contained in the left regular representation $\lambda_{\overline{G}}$ of $\overline{G}$ on $L^2(\overline{G}, \mu)$. It is enough to determine conditions on the unitary representation π of G, so that the unitary representation $\bar{\pi_0}^{\rm p}|_G$ of G is weakly contained in the unitary representation $\lambda_{\overline{G}}|_G$.*

In the case of the unitary representation π_n of $G = {\rm PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime number, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, obtained by restriction from the discrete series of representations of ${\rm PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the representations $\overline{\pi}_n^{\rm p}$ encode all the group harmonic analysis information about the spaces of automorphic forms (here the group Γ is ${\rm PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$). The spherical matrix coefficients of $\overline{\pi}_n^{\rm p}$ encode the information about the eigenvalues of Hecke operators.

Below we present examples of representations π and of the associated representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$. For a more detailed exposition see Section 4. The easiest case is when a unitary representation π of G as above has also the property

that $\pi|_\Gamma$ is an integer multiple of the left regular representation of Γ. In the terminology of Murray-von Neumann dimension (see [19], section 3.3), this is the case when $\dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H$ is an integer. In this case, as explained above, there exists a Hilbert subspace L of H with the property introduced next.

Definition 13. Let π be a unitary representation of G as above. We consider a subspace L of H such that $\pi(\gamma)L$ is orthogonal to L for $\gamma \neq e$. We will call such a space Γ-wandering subspace for π. If in addition we have that $H = \bigvee_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \pi(\gamma)L$, we will refer to a subspace, with the two properties, as to a Γ-wandering, generating subspace.

In the above context, the Hilbert spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors are canonically identified with the Hilbert spaces $L \otimes l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Recall that K is the profinite completion of Γ with respect to the subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$. The space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is identified to $L \otimes L^2(K, \mu)$. The problem consists in the identification of the representation of G and $\overline{G}$ on $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$.

One case (see Example 28) in which the above situation occurs is when $(\mathcal{X}, \nu)$ is an infinite measure space on which G acts by measure preserving transformations. In this case $H = L^2(\mathcal{X}, \nu)$ and π is the Koopmann representation π_{Koop} (see e.g. [27])

$$(\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X},\ g \in G,\ f \in L^2(\mathcal{X}, \nu). \tag{25}$$

We assume that the restriction of the action of G to Γ admits a fundamental domain F. Then we may take $L = L^2(F, \nu|_F)$. This is a Γ-wandering, generating subspace, associated with the representation π_{Koop}. In this case $\dim_{\{\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}(\Gamma)\}''} H = \infty$. Then $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}} = L^2(F, \nu|_F) \otimes L^2(K, \mu)$. The representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{Koop}}$ is determined by the Γ-valued cocycle on $G \times F$, determined by the action of G on $\mathcal{X}$, in the identification $\mathcal{X} \cong F \times \Gamma$. To obtain the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{Koop}}$, one views this cocycle as having values in K.

Assume that π_0 is a representation of G with the properties listed in Definition 1. This is typically the case when $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H$ is not an integer. Such a situation occurs when the Γ-invariant vectors are the automorphic forms.

In this case $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime, Γ is the modular group, and $H_n = H^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$. Here ν_n is the measure $\nu_n = (\mathrm{Im} z)^{n-2} d\bar{z}dz$ on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$. The representations $\pi_0 = \pi_n$ are obtained by restricting to G the representations in the discrete series $(\pi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}, n\geqslant 2}$ of unitary representations of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [29]). In this case there is no canonical wandering subspace

L, since

$$\dim_{\{\pi_n(\Gamma)\}''} H_n = \dim_\Gamma H_n = \frac{n-1}{12},$$

as proved in [19], Section 3.3.d. The reason for the previous non-existence statement is the fact that, if such a space L exists, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L = \dim_\Gamma H_n.$$

This is impossible if $\frac{n-1}{12}$ is not an integer.

In the theory of automorphic forms, the construction of Hilbert spaces of Γ-invariant vectors is solved by using a fundamental domain and the Petersson scalar product ([34]), which consists in integration over the fundamental domain. In the framework of this paper, we substitute the integration over a fundamental domain by the action of the projection P_L onto the space L.

One assumes that there exists a unitary representation $\widehat{\pi}_n$ on a larger Hilbert space, containing π_n as a subrepresentation as in Definition 1. In this case (see Example 29), the larger space Hilbert space is $H = L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$. The unitary representation $\widehat{\pi}_n$ acts on functions on $\mathbb{H}$ by the same formula as π_n. The invariance properties of the measure ν_n imply, as in the case of π_n, that $\widehat{\pi}_n$ is a unitary representation of G.

We use the notations from Definition 1. Let P_0 be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$ onto the space H_n of square summable analytic functions. We have that $[P_0, \widehat{\pi}_n(g)] = 0$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $\pi_n(g) = P_0 \widehat{\pi}_n(g) P_0$, $g \in G$. We take the space $L = L^2(F, \nu_n)$ as a canonical choice for the Γ-wandering, generating subspace L for $\widehat{\pi}_n$. Recall that P_L is the orthogonal projection onto L. It is obvious that in this case P_L is precisely M_{χ_F}, the operator of multiplication with the characteristic function of the fundamental domain F, acting on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$.

The computations in Section 3.3 of [19] imply that the product $P_0 M_{\chi_F}$ is a trace class operator, with trace equal to the Murray-von Neumann dimension $\dim_{\{\pi_n(\Gamma)\}''} H_n$.

To abstractly define the space of Γ-invariant vectors, we make use of the relative position (the operator angle) of the projections P_0 and M_{χ_F}. In this situation the technical hypothesis (Definition 1) is the convergence in the space of Hilbert Schmidt class operators of the series

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma \sigma \Gamma} P_L \pi_n(\theta) P_L, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in G.$$

In the present example, this condition holds true since the reproducing kernel for the projection onto the space of automorphic forms, and the reproducing kernels of the associated Hecke operators, are the sum of the operator kernels (Berezin's reproducing kernels, [3], [39]) of the operators

$$\sum_{\theta\in\Gamma\sigma\Gamma} M_{\chi_F}\pi_n(\theta)M_{\chi_F}, \quad \sigma\in G. \tag{26}$$

Moreover the sum of the traces of the corresponding operators is also absolutely convergent. This follows from the computations in [53] and [19], Section 3.3.

We prove in Theorem 34 that the sum in formula (26) is a projection, when taking the sum over Γ (e. g. σ is the identity element). We prove that the range of this projection (which is a subspace of L) is unitarily equivalent to the Hilbert space of Γ-invariant vectors. Moreover, the same unitary equivalence will transform the Hecke operator corresponding to a double coset $[\Gamma\sigma\Gamma]$ into the sum in formula (26).

The advantage of this point of view on spaces of automorphic forms is that formula (26) allows a direct computation of the traces of the Hecke operators at any level $\Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}$. This is used to compute (Theorem 7) values of the character $\theta_{\overline{\pi}_n^{\mathrm{p}}}(g), g\in G$. These values are the partial sums of traces of operators as in formula (26) (see Theorem 34 and Remark 35).

The construction of the spaces of Γ-invariant vectors for the unitary representation $\overline{\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ may be obtained alternatively if the above representation admits a "square root" as described below (see Example 30 in Section 4). Let the infinite measure space be $\mathbb{H}$, endowed with the $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ invariant measure $\nu_0=(\mathrm{Im}z)^{-2}d\bar{z}dz$. Let $\pi=\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}$ be the corresponding Koopmann unitary representation of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ into the unitary group of $L^2(\mathbb{H},\nu_0)$. We denote by π_n^{op} the conjugate representation of π_n. Because of Berezin's quantization method (see [42], [3]), the representation π_{Koop} factorizes as

$$\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}\cong\pi_n\otimes\pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

As in the previous example, we let $G=\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime, and let Γ be the modular group. The factorization of the representation π_{Koop} gives a canonical choice for the Hilbert spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, the representation $\pi_n\otimes\pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_n(g)$ into the unitary group of the Hilbert -Schmidt operators $\mathcal{C}_2(H_n)\cong H_n\otimes\overline{H}_n^{\mathrm{p}}$.

The larger vector space containing $\mathcal{C}_2(H_n)$ is $\mathcal{V}=B(H_n)$, the space of bounded linear operators on H_n. Then the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_n(g)$

extends to a representation into the inner automorphism group of $B(H_n)$. The space $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ of Γ_0 invariant vectors is in this situation the type II_1 factor:

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\Gamma_0) = \{\pi_n(\Gamma_0)\}' = \{X \in B(H_n) | [X, \pi_n(\gamma)] = 0, \gamma \in \Gamma_0\}.$$

The fact that the commutant algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\Gamma_0)$ is a type II_1 factor is a consequence of the fact that $\dim_\Gamma H_n$ is finite (see [19], Section 3.3.d).

Then the Hilbert space H^{Γ_0} is simply $L^2(\mathcal{A}_n(\Gamma_0), \tau)$, the GNS Hilbert space associated to the unique trace τ on $\mathcal{A}_n(\Gamma_0)$. The family $\{\mathcal{A}_n(\Gamma_0)\}_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}$ is a directed family of II_1 factors. Let $\mathcal{A}_n^\infty$ be the type II_1 factor obtained as the inductive limit of the above directed family of II_1 factors. We also denote by τ the unique trace on $\mathcal{A}_n^\infty$.

Then the space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is $L^2(\mathcal{A}_n^\infty, \tau)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi_n}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is the extension of $\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi_n(g)$. In Theorem 10 (Theorem 3.2 in [37]) we prove that the K-spherical matrix coefficients for $\overline{\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi_n}^{\mathrm{p}}$ are explicitly computed from a C^*-representation determined by the K-spherical matrix coefficients for $\overline{\pi}_n^{\mathrm{p}}$. This representation is in fact the main algebraic tool in [36].

Let again π_0 be a representation of G as in Definition 1. In all constructions above, the main building block for the representations $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is a completely positive map Φ (see Theorem 37) supported on $C^*(G)$ with values in $B(L)$, and extending to $C^*(\overline{G})$. The map Φ encodes the sums from formula (26). We extend Φ to $C^*(\overline{G})$, by defining, for the characteristic function of a closed subset C of $\overline{G}$,

$$\Phi(\chi_C) = \sum_{\theta \in C} P_L \pi(\theta) P_L.$$

Then Φ is a completely positive map on $C^*(\overline{G})$ with values in $B(L)$, and Φ is $*$-preserving, multiplicative representation of the operator system (Definition 14)

$$\mathcal{O}(K, G) = \left[\mathbb{C}(\chi_{\sigma K} | \sigma \in G)\right] \cdot \left[\mathbb{C}(\chi_{\sigma K} | \sigma \in G)\right]^* \subseteq C^*(\overline{G}).$$

The $*$-preserving, multiplicative property means that for any two K-cosets $K\sigma_1$, $K\sigma_2$ in $\overline{G}$, we have

$$\Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_1})^* \Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_2}) = \Phi(\chi_{\sigma_1 K}) \Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_2}) = \Phi(\chi_{\sigma_1 K \sigma_2}).$$

We prove in Lemma 40 that the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is entirely reconstructible from the completely positive map Φ.

Then Φ is an "operator valued eigenvector" for the Hecke algebra. Indeed, by the multiplicativity property, denoting the convolution operation on functions on $\overline{G}$ by $\cdot$, we obtain that:

$$\Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_1 K})\Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_2}) = \Phi(\chi_{K\sigma_1 K}\cdot\chi_{K\sigma_2}), \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G. \tag{27}$$

3. Axioms for constructing the Hilbert spaces of Γ-invariant vectors

Let $\Gamma \subseteq G$ be an almost normal subgroup as in the introduction, satisfying the assumption $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma] = [\Gamma : \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}]$ for all σ in G. Let π be a (projective) unitary representation of G into the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(H)$ of a Hilbert space H, with the properties (i) and (ii) from Definition 1. In particular, we have that $\dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H$ is an integer or ∞. As observed in the previous section , this implies the existence of a Γ-wandering, generating subspace L for $\pi|_\Gamma$ (Definition 13). We recall that this means that L is orthogonal to $\pi(\gamma)L$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \neq e$, and that $H = \overline{\bigvee_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \pi(\gamma)L}$.

We construct, the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0} of Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Such spaces H^{Γ_0} will be isometrically isomorphic to $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)\otimes L$ for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. The main problem that we first consider in this section is to construct the representation of G on the reunion of spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors.

The particular examples presented in the previous section suggest that one possibility to address this problem is to find an embedding of the Hilbert space H into a larger vector space $\mathcal{V}$, such that the representation π extends to a representation $\pi_\mathcal{V}$ of G into the group of linear isomorphisms of $\mathcal{V}$, and such that $\pi_\mathcal{V}$ invariates the subspace H of $\mathcal{V}$. We explain the construction first in this case, then perform the construction based on properties (i) and (ii) as in Definition 1.

We will work with subgroups Γ_0 that are conjugated in G to subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$. We denote this enlarged class of subgroups of G by $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

For $\Gamma_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, we consider the spaces $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ of $\pi_\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_0)$-invariant vectors in $\mathcal{V}$. Then $\pi_\mathcal{V}$ has an obvious extension to

$$\mathcal{V}_\infty = \bigvee_{\Gamma_0\in\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0} = \bigvee_{\Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}. \tag{28}$$

The remaining problem is to identify a $\pi_\mathcal{V}(G)$-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}_\infty$, that is endowed with a $\pi_\mathcal{V}(G)$-invariant prehilbertian scalar product. Using this scalar product we define the Hilbert spaces $H^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$, $\Gamma_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Then $\pi_\mathcal{V}$ induces a unitary representation of G on $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0\in\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} H^{\Gamma_0}$.

The construction in this section is certainly similar to other constructions in the literature (see e.g [6], [21]). However, in Theorem 22 we employ this construction to introduce specific $*$-representations of the Hecke algebra, involving expressions as in formula (26). These are generalized in the next section to the case when $\dim_{\pi(\Gamma)} H$ is not an integer and hence there exist no Γ-wandering, generating subspace.

In the following definition, we introduce a general formalism that is used to construct the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\rm p}$, starting with an extension of the given representation π to a larger vector space that contains vectors invariant to the action of the subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$. In practice, as will be done later in this section, we construct directly the Hilbert spaces corresponding to "virtual" Γ_0-invariant vectors, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 14. [Formalism of Γ-invariant vectors.] Let $\Gamma \subseteq G$ be as in the introduction, and consider a (eventually projective) representation π of G into the unitary group of a Hilbert space H. We make the following assumptions:
(i) There exists a larger vector space $\mathcal{V}$, containing H, and a representation $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ of G into the linear isomorphisms of $\mathcal{V}$, such that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g)$ invariates H and $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g)|_H = \pi(g)$ for all $g \in G$. For Γ_0 in $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, denote by $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of vectors fixed by the action of Γ_0. Consider the vector space $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ introduced in formula (28).
(ii) There exists a dense $\pi(G)$-invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq H$, and there exists a complex valued bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ on

$$\mathcal{V}_\infty \times (\mathcal{V}_\infty \vee \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}})$$

with the following properties:

1) The restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ to $\mathcal{V}_\infty \times \mathcal{V}_\infty$ is a positive definite, prehilbertian scalar product.

2) For every $\Gamma_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$, the linear map on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}}$, defined by the restriction of the linear form $\langle v, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}}$, is Γ_0-invariant.

3) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ is $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(G)$-invariant:

$$\langle \pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g) v_1, \pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g) v_2 \rangle_\infty = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_\infty, \quad g \in G, v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_\infty, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_\infty \vee \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

If the above assumptions hold true, we let H^{Γ_0} be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$, and let $\overline{H}^{\rm p}$ be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ with respect to the above scalar product.

The following lemma is an obvious consequence of the assumptions in the definition.

Lemma 15. *We assume the context of Definition 14. Then the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ to $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ extends to a unitary represention $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ of G into the unitary group of $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$. Moreover, $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ maps isometrically H^{Γ_0} onto $H^{\sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1}}$ for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma \in G$.*

If $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, then the inclusion H^{Γ_0} into H^{Γ_1} is isometrical by construction. The orthogonal projection from H^{Γ_1} onto H^{Γ_0} is obtained by averaging over the cosets of Γ_0 in Γ_1.

If the original representation π is projective (see e.g [5] and the references therein) with cocycle $\varepsilon \in H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, then assuming that the extension $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ has the same cocycle, the above construction still works.

In the sequel we will work with simultaneous representations of the group G and with its Schlichting completion $\overline{G}$ ([48]). Consequently we introduce an universal C^*-algebra containing both $C^*(G)$ and $C^*(\overline{G})$ as C^*-subalgebras.

Definition 16. With $G, \overline{G}$ as above, let $\mathcal{A}(G, \overline{G})$ be the quotient of the universal crossed product C^*-algebra $C^*(G \rtimes C^*(\overline{G}))$, where G acts by conjugation on $C^*(\overline{G})$ by the norm closed ideal generated by the relations of the form

$$g\chi_{K_0} = \chi_{gK_0}, \quad g \in G, \quad K_0 = \overline{\Gamma}_0, \quad \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Here, by χ_{gK_0} we denote the characteristic function of the coset

$$gK_0 = \overline{g\Gamma_0},$$

where the closure operation is in $\overline{G}$.

Then $\mathcal{A}(G, \overline{G})$ is the norm closure of the span:

$$\mathrm{Sp}\{g\chi_{K_0} | g \in G,\ K_0 = \overline{\Gamma}_0,\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Assume we are given a cocycle $\varepsilon \in H^2(G, \mathbb{T})$, that also extends to $H^2(\overline{G}, \mathbb{T})$. Then, working with crossed products with cocycle, we obtain a similar C^*-algebra, that we denote with $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(G, \overline{G})$.

Using the previous two definitions, we prove that the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ simultaneously extends to G and $\overline{G}$.

Proposition 17. *Given a representation π as in Definition 14, the corresponding representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ from the above definition extends to a representation, also denoted by $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$, of the C^*- algebra $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(G, \overline{G})$ into $B(\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}})$.*

Proof. Let Γ_0 be a subgroup of G belonging to the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Let $K_0 = \overline{\Gamma_0}$, where the closure is taken in the topology of $\overline{G}$. Let $P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ onto the Hilbert space H^{Γ_0}. The extended representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is constructed by mapping $\frac{1}{\mu(K_0)}\chi_{K_0}$. The normalization is necessary, since in $C^*(\overline{G})$ the convolutor with a subgroup K_0 of K is a non-trivial scalar multiple of a projection, as $(\chi_{K_0})^2 = \mu(K_0)\chi_{K_0}$. The elements in G are represented as unitary operators on $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$, through the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ introduced in Definition 14. With this choice, all the relations defining the universal C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(G, \overline{G})$ are obviously verified. □

Given a representation π of G, such that $\pi|_{\Gamma}$ admits a Γ-generating, wandering subspace, we construct a representation as in the Definition 14. We will construct directly the Hilbert spaces of H^{Γ_0}-invariant vectors, without constructing the space $\mathcal{V}$ from Definition 14.

Lemma 18. *Let π be a unitary representation of G with the properties introduced (i) and (ii) from Definition 1. In particular $\pi|_{\Gamma}$ is an integer multiple of the left regular representation λ_{Γ}. We use the notations from the above mentioned definition.*

Then
(i) For all $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $g \in G$, the sum over the coset $\Gamma_0 g$:

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma_0 g} P_L \pi(\theta) P_L \tag{29}$$

is so-convergent in $B(L)$.
(ii) The subspace

$$\mathcal{D}_{L,\pi} = \{h \in H | \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} P_L \pi(\gamma) h \text{ is so-convergent for all } \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}\}, \tag{30}$$

is a dense $\pi(G)$-invariant subspace of H, containing L.

Proof. To prove part (i), we note that it is sufficient to prove the statement for $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, as $\pi|_{\Gamma_0}$ remains an integer multiple of the left regular representation λ_{Γ_0} of the group Γ_0. If we sum over the double coset $\Gamma\sigma\Gamma$, we get exactly the Hecke operator associated with the double coset, acting on the space L, which is unitarily equivalent to the space of Γ-invariant vectors.

In the case where the representation π is as in Example 28, the sum in formula (29) coincides with the representation in the Koopman unitary representation of the piecewise bijective transformation $\widehat{\dot{\Gamma} g}$ introduced in ([41],

Lemma 4(i)). The sum is so-convergent since we are adding partial isometries corresponding to transformations with disjoint domains. The operator associated to the piecewise bijective transformation $\widehat{\dot{\Gamma g}}$ is consequently ([41]) a finite sum (of cardinality $[\Gamma : \Gamma_g]$) of partial isometries with orthogonal initial spaces. The argument transfers ad litteram to our case because of assumption (ii) in Definition 1. The key feature that is making this argument work is the fact that $\pi(\sigma)L$ is a $\sigma\Gamma\sigma^{-1}$ wandering subspace.

For part (ii), we note that to prove the density assumption it suffices to assume that Γ-equivariantly $H = \ell^2(\Gamma)$. In this case the domain $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is simply $\ell^1(\Gamma) \cap \ell^2(\Gamma)$. The $\pi(G)$-invariance of H is now a consequence of part (i).

□

Definition 19. We use the notations and definitions introduced above. Let Γ_0 be a subgroup $\mathcal{S}$. Assume that Γ is decomposed in cosets over Γ_0 as $\Gamma = \cup\Gamma_0 r_j$, where r_j are coset representatives for Γ_0. Let L^{Γ_0} be the subspace of H obtained as a sum of the following orthogonal subspaces of H:

$$L^{\Gamma_0} = \sum \pi(r_j)L. \tag{31}$$

Denote the orthogonal projection from H onto L^{Γ_0} by $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}$.

We define the Hilbert space $H^{\Gamma_0} = \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ as the space of formal sums

$$\{\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0)h | h \in \mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}\}. \tag{32}$$

subject to the identification:

$$\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0)h = \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0)l_0, \tag{33}$$

if $h \in \mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$ and l_0 is the vector in L^{Γ_0} given by the formula

$$l_0 = \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}(\pi(\gamma_0)h). \tag{34}$$

The infinite sum in formula (34) is convergent since h belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$.

The condition in formula (33) corresponds to the fact that the sum over Γ_0 is invariant under changing the summation variable from γ into $\gamma\gamma_0$, for a fixed γ_0 in Γ_0. This condition should necessarily hold true if the vector h is a sum of translates, by elements in Γ_0, of vectors in L^{Γ_0}. Using the

above construction, we can introduce the unitary representation of $\overline{G}$ acting on vectors invariant to subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 20. *The positive definite prehilbertian scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ on $\mathcal{V}_\infty = \bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$ is defined for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $l_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma,\pi}$, $l_2 \in L^{\Gamma_0}$, by the formula*

$$\langle \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0) l_1, \sum_{\gamma_0' \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0') l_2 \rangle_\infty = \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]} \langle \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0) l_1, l_2 \rangle. \tag{35}$$

O the right hand side of the above equality we use the scalar product on H. Clearly $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ embeds isometrically into $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$ for $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$. Let $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$.

Then, the unitary representation π determines a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ into the unitary group of $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$, having the properties from Lemma 15 .

Before proving the proposition, we note that formula (35), which is equivalent to formula (36) below, is a generalization of the Petersson scalar product formula [34].

Indeed, with the notations from the introduction, consider the case of two automorphic forms of weight $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which are hence Γ-invariant vectors, as above, for the representation π_n. To obtain the scalar product of the two automorphic forms one multiplies one of them with the characteristic function χ_F of a fundamental domain, and then uses the usual scalar product from $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$, which extends the scalar product on H_n. This is exactly what is performed in the next formula, by replacing the operator M_{χ_F} (from formula (26)) with the projection P_L, which has similar properties to M_{χ_F}.

One can establish an equivalent expression of formula (35), analogous to the Petersson scalar product formula. For $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$, we let

$$l_i = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_L \pi(\gamma) h_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Using the identification in formula (33), we obtain that formula (35) is equivalent to:

$$\langle \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) h_1, \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma') h_2 \rangle_\infty = \langle P_L\big(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) \big) h_1, \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma') h_2 \rangle. \tag{36}$$

This is further equal to

$$\langle P_L\big(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) \big) h_1, P_L\big(\sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma') h_2 \big) \rangle = \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle.$$

For more general subgroups $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, formula (36) of the scalar product is similar, with the difference that instead of P_L, one uses the projection $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}$ onto a Γ_0-wandering, generating subspace π .

Proof of Proposition 20. Let Γ_0, Γ_1 be two subgroups $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$. We split first Γ_0 into cosets over Γ_1. Using the coset representation, we split the sum in formula (32) for the vectors in $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ into $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_1]$ vectors, which all belong to $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$. Then $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ is embedded into $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$. Indeed, if $\Gamma_0 = \bigcup r_j\Gamma_1$, the embedding is realized as follows: if

$$\eta = \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0) l_0, \quad l_0 \in L^{\Gamma_0} \tag{37}$$

is a generic vector in $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$, then we identify the vector η with the following element of the vector space $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$:

$$\eta_1 = \sum_j \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1} \pi(\gamma_1) l_0 = \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1} \pi(\gamma_1) \big[\sum_j \pi(r_j) l_0 \big] \in \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}.$$

The embedding $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$is isometric. Indeed, for l_0 in L^{Γ_0} as above, the square of the norm of the vector $\eta \in H^{\Gamma_0}$ introduced in formula (37), is determined according to formula (35). This is equal to

$$\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]} \langle l_0, l_0 \rangle,$$

where the scalar product is computed in H.

On the other hand, according to the same formula, the norm of the vector η_1 in $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$ is

$$\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_1]} \langle \big[\sum_j \pi(r_j) l_0 \big], \big[\sum_k \pi(r_k) l_0 \big] \rangle.$$

The set $\{r_j\}$ has cardinality $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_1]$. Moreover the vectors $\pi(r_j) l_0$ are pairwise orthogonal. Hence the square of the norm of the vector η_1 is

$$\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_1]} [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_1] \langle l_0, l_0 \rangle = [\Gamma : \Gamma_1] \langle l_0, l_0 \rangle.$$

Hence the embedding $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ into $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1}$ is isometric.

The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ is defined as follows. Let $g \in G$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $l \in L$, and consider the vector

$$\eta = \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0) l \in \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}.$$

Then we split the coset $g\Gamma_0$ as a disjoint union

$$g\Gamma_0 = \bigcup_j \Gamma_0^j y_j$$

of cosets of smaller subgroups Γ_0^j in $\mathcal{S}$, such that

$$g\Gamma_0^j g^{-1} = \Gamma_1^j \subseteq \Gamma, \quad \Gamma_1^j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

This is always possible, by considering cosets of Γ_0 over subgroups of $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_{g^{-1}}$. Then we define

$$\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g)\eta = \sum_j \sum_{\gamma_j \in \Gamma_1^j} \pi(\gamma_j)(\pi(gy_j)l). \tag{38}$$

By the assumptions on the domain in formula (30), it follows that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g)\eta$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_\infty$. This is because $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(g)$ maps $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0^j \cap \Gamma_{g^{-1}}}$ onto $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_1^j \cap \Gamma_g}$. Since for all $g \in G$ the indices of the subgroups $\Gamma_{g^{-1}}$ and Γ_g are equal, the definition of the scalar product proves that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ maps isometrically $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ into $\mathcal{V}_\infty$. Obviously, if $g \in G$ and $\Gamma_0 \in S$, then $\mathcal{V}^{g\Gamma_0 g^{-1}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{V}^{g\Gamma_0 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0}$. But $g\Gamma_0 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0$ is a subgroup in $\mathcal{S}$, and hence we have the alternative formula $\mathcal{V}_\infty = \bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}} H^{\Gamma_0}$.

Consequently, we obtain a unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ into the unitary group of the Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$, as in Definition 14.

□

Recall that for Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$ and $\sigma \in G$, we denote

$$(\Gamma_0)_\sigma = \sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0.$$

The index $[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]$ will intervene in the following computations.

For Γ_0 as above, let $K_0 = \overline{\Gamma_0}$ be the closure of Γ_0 in the profinite completion K of Γ. In the next statement, we find an explicit matrix representation of the image through the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ of the convolution operator with the characteristic function of the double coset $K_0\sigma K_0$. This is obviously the Hecke operator associated to the double coset $\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0$, on Γ_0-invariant vectors, normalized by a constant. We obtain first the precise normalization constants required for the Hecke operators. The normalization factor that we obtain is the index of the subgroup $(\Gamma_0)_\sigma$ in Γ_0. It is necessary, because in the C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G}, G)$, if K_0 is a subgroup of K, then the convolution operator with $\chi_{K_0\sigma K_0}$ is a scalar multiple, by the factor $[K_0 : (K_0)_\sigma]$, of the ordered product of the convolution operators by χ_{K_0}, σ and χ_{K_0}.

Lemma 21. *We refer to the notations introduced above. For every $\sigma \in G$ and every subgroup K_0 as above, we have:*

$$\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}\big(\chi_{K_0\sigma K_0}\big) = \overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}\big(\chi_{K_0\sigma K_0}\big) = [\Gamma : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]\big(\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\chi_{K_0})\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\chi_{K_0})\big). \tag{39}$$

Proof. We work in the universal algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^*(G, \overline{G})$. For $\sigma \in \overline{G}$ denote the convolution by σ by L_σ. Denote the convolution operator by a continuous function f on $\overline{G}$ by $L(f)$. Clearly, for every measurable subset A of $\overline{G}$ we have

$$L(\chi_A)L_\sigma = L(\chi_{A\sigma}), \quad L_\sigma L(\chi_A) = L(\chi_{\sigma A}), \quad \sigma \in G.$$

Then, obviously,

$$L(f) = \int_{\overline{G}} f(g)L_g \mathrm{d}g. \tag{40}$$

$$(L(\chi_{K_0}))^2 = \nu(K_0)L(\chi_{K_0}). \tag{41}$$

In particular, $\nu(K_0)^{-1}L(\chi_{K_0})$ is a projection.

The same argument gives that if K_0, K_1 are subgroups, as above, and K_1 is a subgroup of K_0, then

$$L(\chi_{K_1})L(\chi_{K_0}) = L(\chi_{K_0})L(\chi_{K_1}) = \nu(K_1)L(\chi_{K_0}). \tag{42}$$

We decompose K_0 as a reunion right cosets for the subgroup $(K_0)_\sigma = K_0 \cap \sigma K_0 \sigma^{-1}$, with coset representatives $s_i \in K_0$, $i = 1, 2, ..., [K_0 : (K_0)_\sigma]$. These are the same as the coset representatives for $(\Gamma_0)_\sigma$ in Γ_0. Using formula (42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(\chi_{K_0})L_\sigma L(\chi_{K_0}) &= \sum_i L(s_i\chi_{(K_0)_\sigma})L_\sigma L(\chi_{K_0}) \\ &= \sum_i L(s_i\sigma)L(\chi_{(K_0)_{\sigma^{-1}}})L(\chi_{K_0}) = \nu((K_0)_{\sigma^{-1}})\sum_i L(s_i\sigma)L(\chi_{K_0}) \\ &= \nu((K_0)_{\sigma^{-1}})L(\chi_{K_0\sigma K_0}). \end{aligned}$$

□

As we previously noted, the Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ are isometrically isomorphic to $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma) \otimes L$, where the scalar product on $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)$ is chosen so that the embeddings $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma_0)$ are isometric for all $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1$. It turns out that the entries of the matrices representing Hecke operators are sums as in formula (29). The previous lemma indicates clearly that, after normalization, the formula of the classical Hecke operator corresponding to the sum over cosets is $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma]P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$, $\sigma \in G$, $\Gamma_0 \in S$

Theorem 22. *We use the notations and definitions previously introduced in this section. Fix a subgroup Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$. We choose a family (s_i) of right coset representatives for $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$. Consider, as in formula (31), the following Hilbert space:*

$$L^{\Gamma_0} = \overset{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \pi(s_i)L. \tag{43}$$

The Hilbert space norm on L^{Γ_0} is normalized so that the embedding of L into L^{Γ_0}, defined by the correspondence

$$l \in L \to \overset{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \pi(s_i)l \in L^{\Gamma_0} = \overset{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} \pi(s_i)L, \tag{44}$$

is isometric. The space L^{Γ_0} is obviously identified with a subspace of H. In this case the space L^{Γ_0} is endowed with a non-normalized scalar product inherited from H. Let $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}$ be the orthogonal projection from H onto L^{Γ_0}.

Then, for $\sigma \in G$, the Hecke operator $[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma]P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the bounded operator

$$A(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0) = \sum_{\theta\in\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi(\theta)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}. \tag{45}$$

Proof. Given $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and a choice for the coset representatives

$$\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_0 s_i,$$

we construct a unitary operator W^{Γ_0} from $L^{\Gamma_0} = \oplus\pi(s_i)L$ into H^{Γ_0} as follows. We define, for vectors $l_i \in L$, the following isometry:

$$W^{\Gamma_0}(\oplus\pi(s_i)l_i) = \sum_i \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0)\pi(s_i)l_i.$$

We prove that, for $\sigma \in G$, the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{\Gamma_0} & \overset{W^{\Gamma_0}}{\longleftarrow} & \oplus\pi(s_i)L \\ [\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)P_{H^{\Gamma_0}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \quad \sum_{\theta\in\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi(\theta)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \\ & & H^{\Gamma_0} & \overset{W^{\Gamma_0}}{\longleftarrow} & \oplus\pi(s_i)L \end{array}$$

is commutative. To do this we use the formula of the unitary operators $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)$, $\theta \in G$, defined in the proof of Proposition 20.

It is sufficient to verify the above commutativity of the diagram in the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$; the cases corresponding to other subgroups $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ are a consequence. Consider a vector $l \in L$. We have that

$$W^\Gamma l = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) l \in \mathcal{V}^\Gamma.$$

If the decomposition of Γ into right cosets over $\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}} r_j$, then $W^\Gamma l$ is further equal to

$$\sum_j \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}} \pi(\gamma)\pi(r_j) l.$$

Then applying $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)$, we obtain

$$\sum_j \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_\sigma} \pi(\gamma_1)\pi(\sigma r_j) l.$$

Projecting on H^Γ, this gives

$$\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma]} \sum_j \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma)\pi(\sigma r_j) l,$$

and this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_\sigma]} \sum_{\theta \in \Gamma\sigma\Gamma} \pi(\theta) l.$$

On the other hand the sum

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma\sigma\Gamma} P_L \pi(\theta) P_L$$

applied to the vector l, gives

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma\sigma\Gamma} P_L \pi(\theta) l = \sum_j \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_L \pi(\gamma)\pi(\sigma r_j) l.$$

We apply the isometry W^Γ to this vector. We use the identifications assumed in the structure of the space H^Γ (see formula (33) in the statement of Definition 19). Then the above sum corresponds to the vector

$$\sum_{r_j} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma \sigma r_j) l = \sum_{\theta \in \Gamma\sigma\Gamma} \pi(\theta) l.$$

□

We describe below the straightforward inclusions between spaces of vectors invariant to subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 23. *Consider the family of Hilbert spaces $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. On this family of Hilbert spaces, the scalar product is normalized so that the embeddings $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma)$ are isometric for all $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1$. Then*
(i) The Hilbert spaces H^{Γ_0} are isometrically isomorphic to $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma) \otimes L$, for all $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, with the inclusion

$$H^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq H^{\Gamma_1}$$

obtained for $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ by tensoring with L, the isometric inclusion

$$l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash\Gamma).$$

(ii) Let π be a representation with properties (i), (ii) in Definition 1. Then, the Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is Γ-equivariantly isometrical isomorphic to $L^2(K,\mu)\otimes L$. Hence $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}|_K$ is a multiple of the left regular representation.

Using the above identification, we construct a block matrix representation for the Hecke operators.

Corollary 24. *We use the notations introduced in Lemma 23. Denote the canonical matrix unit of $B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma))$ by*

$$(e_{\Gamma_0 s_i, \Gamma_0 s_j})_{i,j=1,2,\ldots,[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}.$$

We use the isomorphism defined above

$$B(H^{\Gamma_0}) \cong B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)) \otimes B(L).$$

Then, the Hecke operator $[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma] P_{H^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$ is represented as

$$\sum_{i,j} \sum_{\theta \in s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0 s_j} P_L \pi(\theta) P_L \otimes e_{\Gamma_0 s_i, \Gamma_0 s_j}. \tag{46}$$

Proof. The statement is a consequence of the fact that the projection onto $L^{\Gamma_0} = \oplus \pi(s_i)L$ is $\sum \pi(s_i) P_L \pi(s_i)^*$, where s_i are the coset representatives introduced at the beginning of the proof. We use the coset representatives to construct a unitary operator, mapping L^{Γ_0} onto $\ell^2(\Gamma_0) \otimes L$. This will map $\oplus \pi(s_i) l_i$ onto $\oplus [\Gamma_0 s_i] \otimes l_i$, for all $l_i \in L$. Conjugating the operator in formula (45) by this unitary, we obtain the formula (46) in the statement.

No further renormalization in formula (47) is needed, as it can be directly checked by letting σ be the identity element in G. Then, for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, the left hand side of the equation is $\dim H^{\Gamma_0}$. Since L is a Γ-wandering subspace of H, the right hand side counts how many times the identity element belongs to $s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0 s_i$ and multiplies the result by the dimension of the space L.

□

Remark 25. In particular, if L is of finite dimension, then we have the following formula for the traces of the Hecke operators:

$$\mathrm{Tr}([\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]\big[P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}(\sigma)P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}\big]) = \sum_i \sum_{\theta \in s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0 s_i} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi(\theta)P_L). \tag{47}$$

We note that the correspondence $\pi \to \overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ also preserves the dimension function $\dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H$. This is explained in the following result:

Lemma 26. *Let π be a unitary representation as above. Then*
(i) The unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ extends to a C^*-algebra representation of the full amalgamated free product C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G}) *_{C^*(K)} B(L^2(K))$ into $B(\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}})$.
(ii) The unitary representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ of $\overline{G}$ has the property that $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_0|_K$ is a finite multiple of the left regular representation of K on $L^2(K,\mu)$.

Proof. To prove (i), we have to construct the representation of $B(L^2(K))$ into $B(\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}})$ Since $B(L^2(K))$ is generated by the algebra of convolutors with continuous functions on K and by the functions in $C(K)$, viewed as multiplication operators, it is sufficient to describe the representation of the second algebra into $B(L^2(K))$, that is compatible with the previous one. By translation invariance, it is sufficient to consider the following case: Let K_0 be the closure, in the profinite topology, of a subgroup $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Then χ_{K_0}, viewed as an element of the latter algebra, will act on a vector of the form $\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_1} \pi(\gamma_0)l$, $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{S}, l \in L$, by mapping it into $\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1} \pi(\gamma_0)l$. It is easy to check that this defines a C^*-algebra representation of the C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G}) *_{C^*(K)} B(L^2(K))$.

To prove (ii), we note that by restricting $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ to K, the operators W^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ become intertwiners between $\overline{\pi|_K}$ and the representation $\lambda_K \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L$ acting on $L^2(K) \otimes L$.

□

4. Examples of representations and associated spaces of Γ-invariant vectors

In this section we discuss concrete examples which verify the axioms introduced in Definition 14, and the construction in Proposition 20 may be applied. We will freely use in this section the notations from the above mentioned definition, and the successive statements.

The following example plays, for unitary representations on spaces of Γ-invariant vectors, the role that the left regular representation of a discrete group plays in the space of representations of a discrete group. It would be tempting to address this representation with the terminology "regular representation".

Example 27. Let $\pi = \lambda_G$ be the left regular representation of G acting on $H = l^2(G)$. In this case, the vector space $\mathcal{V}$ is the linear space of functions on G. For $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ is the space of left Γ_0 invariant functions on G, that is functions on $\Gamma_0\backslash G$. Then the Hilbert space H^{Γ_0} is $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$. The scalar product is defined so that, if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$, $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, the inclusions

$$l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash G),$$

are isometric.

Clearly $\mathcal{V}_\infty$ is in this case the Hilbert space completion of the space

$$\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G).$$

This is $L^2(\overline{G}, \mu)$. Then, the representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is the left regular representation $\lambda_{\overline{G}}$ acting on on $L^2(\overline{G}, \mu)$.

Note that here we implicitly use an identification on the vector spaces having as a basis the set of cosets. This is the following: if $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_0 = \bigcup \Gamma_1 s_i$, then, as vectors in $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G) \subseteq l^2(\Gamma_1\backslash G)$, we have

$$[\Gamma_0 g] = \sum_i [\Gamma_1 s_i g], \quad g \in G.$$

In particular, all quasi-regular representations λ_{G/Γ_0} of G onto spaces of cosets are subrepresentations of $\lambda_{\overline{G}}|_G$. Indeed, by using the equality in the above formula, we obtain that for all $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$l^2(G/\Gamma_1) \subseteq \bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G).$$

The quasi-regular representations occur with infinite multiplicity in the left regular representation $\lambda_{\overline{G}}|_G$, as they commute with the right action of G.

A standard choice of a Γ-wandering, generating subspace of $l^2(G)$, will consists into a choice $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$ of right coset representatives of Γ in G (thus G would be the disjoint union $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}} \Gamma\sigma$). Since $P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$ is the projection onto $l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$, we obtain, using the above construction, the standard representation of the Hecke operators and Hecke algebras ([7], [4], [21], [51], [30]).

We describe a second standard example of the construction in Proposition 20 corresponding to the case $\dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H = \infty$.

Example 28. Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \nu)$ is an infinite measure space such that G acts by measure preserving transformations. We assume that the restriction of the action of G to Γadmits a fundamental domain F in $\mathcal{X}$, with measure $\nu(F) = 1$. For every Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$, fix a system of representatives of cosets

$$\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_0 s_i.$$

Let

$$F_{\Gamma_0} = \bigcup s_i F.$$

Then F_{Γ_0} is a fundamental domain for Γ_0. We renormalize the measure ν on F_{Γ_0}, and consider

$$\nu_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]} \nu.$$

The choice of representatives induces a projection $\pi_{\Gamma_0} : F_{\Gamma_0} \to F$, which simply maps $s_i f$ into f, for f in F. Taking the adjoint we obtain an isometric inclusion

$$L^2(F, \nu) \subseteq L^2(F_{\Gamma_0}, \nu_{\Gamma_0}).$$

The unitary representation of G on $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \nu)$ is simply the Koopman representation

$$\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}(g) f(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X},\ g \in G,\ f \in L^2(\mathcal{X}, \nu).$$

We use the formalism in Definition 14, and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the linear space of measurable functions on $\mathcal{X}$. The subspace $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ clearly consists of functions in $\mathcal{V}$ that are Γ_0- equivariant. Then H^{Γ_0} is canonically identified to the Hilbert space $L^2(F_{\Gamma_0}, \nu_{\Gamma_0})$. This space is also identified with a subspace of the Γ_0-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}$.

It is clear that in this case the Hilbert space $\overline{H}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is isometrically isomorphic to

$$L^2(K, \mu) \otimes L^2(F, \nu) = L^2(K \times F, \mu \times \nu).$$

The representation $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}|_K$ is simply $\mathrm{Id}_{L^2(F)} \otimes \lambda_K$, where λ_K is the left regular representation of K on $L^2(K, \mu)$.

The above construction also proves that the representation $\overline{\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}}}^{\mathrm{p}}|_G$ is a Koopman unitary representation itself. It is easily recovered from the initial representation π. Indeed, taking the counting measure ε on Γ, one has an isomorphism of measure spaces

$$(\mathcal{X}, \nu) \cong (\Gamma, \varepsilon) \times (F, \nu).$$

The action of G on $\mathcal{X}$, in the above identification is described in terms of a cocycle on $G \times F$ with values in Γ, where Γ acts by left multiplication on the factor Γ in the product $\Gamma \times F$. When replacing the factor Γ in the above product, by the factor K in the product $K \times F$, we obtain a measure preserving action of G on the measure space $(K \times F, \mu \times \nu)$, having the same cocycle as the action of G on $\Gamma \times F$. Then, the unitary represention $\overline{\pi_{\rm Koop}}^{\rm p}|_G$ is in fact the unitary Koopmann representation corresponding to the action of G on $(K \times F, \mu \times \nu)$

In the above construction, the projection P_L is the multiplication operator by the characteristic function of χ_F. The convergence condition requiring that

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Gamma \sigma \Gamma} P_L \pi_{\rm Koop}(\theta) P_L,$$

be so-convergent is obvious in this case, since the above sum is the Hecke operator (see e.g. [41]).

We also briefly describe below how the framework from Proposition 20 may be used for spaces of automorphic forms. This will be also analyzed in detail in the next section, in a more general setting.

Example 29. Consider the measures $\nu_n = ({\rm Im} z)^{n-2} d\bar{z} dz$ on $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\pi_n, n > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the discrete series ([29]) of (projective) unitary representations of ${\rm PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. This representations act on the Hilbert space $H_n = H^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$. Their formula is similar to the Koopmann unitary representation, except for a modularity factor (see e. g. [29]). We also consider the larger Hilbert space $\widehat{H}_n = L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n), n \geqslant 1$.

We let $G = {\rm PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, where p is a prime, and let $\Gamma = {\rm PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. If π_n is a projective unitary representation, i.e. if n is odd, then we are also given a two cocycle (expressing the projectivity of π_n). This cocycle is in this case $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued, and hence it extends to a 2-cocycle on the Schlichtling completion. In this case this completion is $PGL(2, \mathcal{Q}_p)$, where $\mathcal{Q}_p$ is the p-adic field.

By the results in [19], Section 3.3, it follows that $\pi_n|_\Gamma$ is a (not necessarily integer) multiple of the left regular representation λ_Γ. Indeed,

$$\dim_{\{\pi_n(\Gamma)\}''} H_n = \frac{n-1}{12}.$$

Consequently, if $\frac{n-1}{12}$ is not an integer, then there is no Hilbert space L such that $H_n \cong l^2(\Gamma) \otimes L$ as Γ-modules. Moreover, even if $\frac{n-1}{12}$ is an integer, there

is no canonical choice of L, which would allow to proceed as in Proposition 20.

To overcome this problem we use a Γ-wandering, generating subspace of a representation $\widehat{\pi}_n$ that contains π_n as a subrepresentation. We let $\widehat{\pi}_n$ be the unitary representation of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, given by the same algebraic formula on functions on $\mathbb{H}$, as the algebraic formula that determines the representation π_n. The same computation that shows that π_n is a unitary representation also plainly proves that $\widehat{\pi}_n$ is a unitary representation.

It is well known (e.g. [23], [34]) that the associated Hilbert space H_n^Γ is the finite dimensional Hilbert space consisting of automorphic forms, of weight n, for the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$. To apply the formalism in Definition 14, we let $\mathcal{V}$ be the space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{H}$. In the next section we will use this framework to compute traces of Hecke operators.

Then, to describe the scalar product on H_n^Γ, one uses the Hilbert space scalar product from the previous example for the unitary representation $\widehat{\pi}_n$. If F is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}$, then we let P_L be the multiplication operator M_{χ_F} with the characteristic function χ_F, acting on $L^2(\mathbb{H},\nu_n)$. The scalar product is explicitly constructed in formula (50) in the next section. In the particular case treated in this example, the above mentioned formula for the scalar product turns out to be the canonical Petersson ([34]) scalar product. The procedure described above will be later used to obtain an explicit description of the Hecke operators and to compute their traces.

We give one more example of when the framework in Theorem 20 applies for the construction of Γ-invariant vectors. This example corresponds to representations of the form $\pi \otimes \pi^{\mathrm{op}}$, where π^{op} is the complex conjugate.

Example 30. Let $\Gamma \subseteq G$, π, π_0, P_0, P_L be as in Definition 1. Consider the diagonal unitary representation $\widetilde{\pi} = \pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$ of G. In this example we assume, for the simplicity of the presentation, that all the subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$ have infinite, non-trivial conjugacy classes (briefly i.c.c). This corresponds to the fact that all the associated von Neumann algebras have unique traces (are factors, i. e. have no center).

Note that even if π_0 is projective, the representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$ is unitary, with no cocycle. Moreover, since in this case the Murray-von Neumann dimension is infinite, it follows that the unitary representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$ verifies

the conditions from Theorem 20. Since we reserved the notation $\overline{H_0}^{\rm p}$ for other purposes, we use here the notation $H_0^{\rm op}$ for the conjugate Hilbert space of H_0.

Then the representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0$, defined on G, with values into the unitary group of the Hilbert space

$$H_0 \otimes H_0^{\rm op} \cong \mathcal{C}_2(H_0) \subseteq B(H_0).$$

Here $\mathcal{C}_2(H_0)$ is the ideal consisting of the Hilbert-Schmidt operators acting on H_0 ([Ta]). The formula for the representation $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0$ is

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0(g)(X) = \pi_0(g)X\pi_0(g)^{-1}, \quad X \in \mathcal{C}_2(H_0), g \in G.$$

It obviously extends to a (non unitary) representation of G into the (inner) automorphisms of $B(H_0)$

In the setting of Definition 14, we let the space $\mathcal{V}$ be $B(H_0)$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}^\Gamma = \{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}' = \{X \mid [X, \pi(\gamma)] = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\} \subseteq B(H_0).$$

More generally, for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ we have that

$$\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0} = \{\pi(\Gamma_0)\}' \subseteq B(H_0).$$

Since we assumed that all the groups Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$ are i.c.c. groups, it follows that the algebras $\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}'$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, are type II_1 factors, and consequently each of them is endowed with a unique normalized trace τ_{Γ_0}.

We let $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ be the type II_1 factor obtained as the inductive, trace preserving, directed limit of the factors $\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}'$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ has a unique trace τ defined by the requirement that

$$\tau|_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}'} = \tau_{\Gamma_0}, \quad \Gamma_0 \in S.$$

For $\sigma \in G$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0(\sigma)$ maps

$$\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}})\}'$$

into

$$\{\pi_0(\sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1} \cap \Gamma_\sigma)\}'.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0(\sigma)$ also maps $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ onto $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. Thus $\mathrm{Ad}\,\pi_0(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in G$, extends to an element in the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ of the factor A_∞.

To obtain the Hilbert space of Γ_0-invariant vectors, we use the standard L^2-spaces associated to the corresponding II_1 factors (for notations see e.g. [50]). Thus

$$(H_0 \otimes H_0^{\rm op})^{\Gamma_0} = L^2(\{\pi(\Gamma_0)\}', \tau_{\Gamma_0})$$

and

$$\overline{(H_0 \otimes H_0^{\rm op})}^{\rm p} = L^2(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \tau).$$

In particular, if $\dim_{\{\pi(\Gamma)\}''} H_0 = 1$, then

$$(H_0 \otimes H_0^{\rm op})^\Gamma \cong L^2(\mathcal{L}(\Gamma), \tau) \cong \ell^2(\Gamma).$$

The unitary representation $\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in G$, induces consequently the unitary representation

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi}^{\rm op} = \overline{\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}}$$

corresponding to $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$, as defined in Theorem 20.

Although this is not needed in this paper, we note that by Jones's index theory ([25]), by identifying the Jones's projection for the inclusion

$$\{\pi_0(\Gamma_0)\}'' \subseteq \{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''$$

with the characteristic function of the closure of the subgroup Γ_0 in K, it follows (see [40]) that $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra crossed product algebra $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma \rtimes L^\infty(K, \nu))$, where Γ acts by left translations on K. The representation $\overline{\mathrm{Ad}\, \pi}^{\rm p}|_\Gamma$ acts identically on $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\infty$, and by right translations on K.

5. Construction of the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\rm p}$ in the absence of Γ-wandering, generating subspace

In this section we are analyzing the case of a unitary representation π_0 of G on a Hilbert space H_0 such that $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H_0$ is not necessary an integer. We assume that the above mentioned dimension is a finite, positive number. Thus there might be no Γ-wandering, generating subspace $L \subseteq H_0$, as in Definition 13.

We assume the conditions in Definition 1. We recall that the assumptions in the above definition require that there exists a unitary representation π of G, on a larger Hilbert space H and having a Γ-wandering generating subspace L. The initial representation π_0 is required to be a subrepresentation of π. We recall that, as in Definition 1, by P_L, P_0 we denote, respectively the orthogonal projection from H onto L and, respectively onto H_0.

The spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors are constructed, as in Proposition 20, as spaces of formal sums, over the group $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. The Γ_0-invariant vectors are consequently identified, as in Section 3, with Γ_0-invariant, unbounded linear forms on the Hilbert space H_0.

The use of an auxiliary representation π, that possesses a Γ-wandering, generating subspace, and that contains the original representation π_0 as a subrepresentation, is suggested by the case of automorphic forms (see the description in Example 29). Formally automorphic forms are vectors fixed by $\pi_n|_\Gamma$ acting on the Hilbert space H_n. It is impossible to find genuine vectors with this property since square summability fails. On the other hand the algebraic formula defining the representation π_n admits Γ-invariant, analytic functions. These are the automorphic forms ([23]). To construct a Hilbert space structure on the space of automorphic forms of a given weight one uses the Petersson scalar product. To define the Petersson scalar product ([34]), one uses a fundamental domain F for the action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}$. The space $L^2(F, \nu_n)$ is a Γ-wandering generating subspace for the larger unitary representation, containing π_n as a subrepresentation, and acting on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$.

In the framework of this section, the unitary representation π_0 is π_n, and the larger representation, having Γ-wandering, generating subspace acts on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$. The projection P_L is the multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$ with the characteristic function χ_F of the fundamental domain. Also, the projection P_0 is the (Bergman) projection onto the space of analytic functions $H^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_n)$.

We describe below the variations from the procedure from Proposition 20 and Definition 14, needed to address the present situation. We start first with the construction of the space of Γ_0-invariant vectors.

Lemma 31. *We consider the groups $\Gamma \subseteq G$, and consider a representation π_0 of G with the properties from Definition 1. We use the notations introduced in the above definition.*

For Γ_0 in $\mathcal{S}$, we fix a system of right coset representatives s_i for Γ_0 in Γ, so that $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_0 s_i$. Let L^{Γ_0} be the Hilbert space introduced in formula (43) with the norm subject to the renormalization condition defined in formula (44) from the statement of Theorem 22. Let $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}$ be the orthogonal projection from H onto L^{Γ_0}.

Then, the formula

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \pi_0(\gamma) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \in B(L^{\Gamma_0}) \tag{48}$$

defines a projection in $B(L^{\Gamma_0})$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L}$ is a projection, and more generally, the fact that formula (55) in the next proposition defines a representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, is a straightforward consequence of the

following identity, valid for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi_0(\sigma_1\gamma)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi_0(\gamma^{-1}\sigma_2)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} = P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi_0(\sigma_1\sigma_2)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}. \tag{49}$$

The convergence in the above equation follows from the technical condition assumed in Definition 1. Here, we are taking the pointwise operator product of two operators series that are convergent in $\mathcal{C}_2(L)$. Formula (49) is then a direct consequence of the fact that

$$\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma)P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\pi(\gamma^{-1})$$

is the identity operator on H. □

In the following, we adapt the content of Proposition 20 to the context of a representation π_0 with the properties introduced in Definition 1. In the next statement, we construct the Hilbert space of Γ_0 "virtual" invariant vectors associated to π_0.

Lemma 32. *We assume the notations and definitions from Lemma 31. Let $H_0^{\Gamma_0}$ be the space of formal series, of the following form*

$$H_0^{\Gamma_0} = \{\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma)l \mid l \in L^{\Gamma_0}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$ be defined as in formula (30) in Lemma 18. This space is subject to the same identification as formula (33) in Definition 19. Hence
(i)

$$H_0^{\Gamma_0} = \{\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma)h \mid h \in \mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}\}.$$

(ii) The representation π_0 extends to a canonical representation π_0^{p} of G into the linear isomorphism group of the vector space $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$.

Proof. The part (i) is a straightforward consequence of the identification in formula (33). The representation of π_0^{p} is constructed using the same procedure as in Proposition 20, formula (38). □

In the following result, we define a compatible scalar product on the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors introduced above, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. We also construct a unitary representation on the inductive limit of the Hilbert spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors.

Theorem 33. *In the context introduced above, we have:*

(i) Let $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, let $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$. By analogy with formula (36) in Proposition 20 we define

(50)
$$\langle \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1, \sum_{\gamma_0' \in \Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma_0')h_2 \rangle_\infty = \langle P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\big(\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1\big), \sum_{\gamma_0' \in \Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma_0')h_2 \rangle$$

For $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$ the inclusions $H_0^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq H_0^{\Gamma_1}$ are isometric. Hence formula (50) extends to a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ on $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$.

(ii)The scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\infty$ on the space $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$ verifies the assumptions in Definition 14.

(iii). Let $\widetilde{L}^{\Gamma_0}$ be another Γ_0-wandering, generating subspace of H for the representation $\pi|_{\Gamma_0}$. Assume that there exists two orthogonal partitions $\widetilde{L}^{\Gamma_0} = \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \widetilde{L}_\gamma$ and $L^{\Gamma_0} = \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} L_\gamma$, such that $\widetilde{L}_\gamma = \pi(\gamma)L_\gamma$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$. Then, substituting in the formula (50) the space L^{Γ_0} by $\widetilde{L}^{\Gamma_0}$, does not change the value of the scalar product.

(iv) Let $\overline{H}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ be the Hilbert space completion of the inductive limit $\bigvee_{\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}} H^{\Gamma_0}$ of the spaces constructed in (i). For $g \in G$, we define the unitary $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(g)$ on $\overline{H}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ by exactly the same formula as formula (38) from the proof of Proposition 20. Then $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ is a unitary representation of G into the unitary group of the Hilbert space $\overline{H}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$.

Before proving the theorem, we make a few remarks. The formula (50) in the definition of the scalar product may also be continued with

$$\langle \sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0)P_0 h, \sum_{\gamma_0' \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0')P_0 l \rangle_\infty.$$

This proves that the scalar product that we are defining on $H_0^{\Gamma_0}$ is consistent with the scalar product on the space H^{Γ_0} introduced in Proposition 20.

In the case of automorphic forms, when π_0 is the representation π_n and P_0 is a Bergman projection onto the associated space of square integrable analytic functions, the technical conditions (v), (vi) in Definition 1 follow from the fact that the reproducing kernel for the space of automorphic forms is the sum, over Γ, of the reproducing kernels, restricted to the fundamental domain, for the operators $\chi_F \pi_0(\gamma)\chi_F$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The same is valid for the sum over any double coset, the sum of the kernels being equal in this case to the reproducing kernel for the Hecke operator associated to a double coset. The

convergence of reproducing kernels holds true in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm ([Za]). Note that in the same paper ([Za]) the absolute convergence for the sum of traces is proved.

The similarity with the Petersson scalar product formula follows from the fact that in the particular case corresponding to automorphic forms, the projection P_L is substituted with the projection operator M_{χ_F} obtained by multiplication with the characteristic function χ_F of the fundamental domain F. The fact that $P_0 M_{\chi_F}$ is trace class was checked in [GHJ], Section 3.3. The formula (50) is reminiscent of the Pettersson scalar product. Indeed, to define the Petterson scalar product of two automorphic forms f, g, one proceeds with the L^2-scalar product of $\chi_F f$ and g.

Note that one could have used directly formula (55) in the next proposition to define the Hecke operators. There (see also [Ra2]) we give a direct proof that formula (55) is a representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets of Γ_0 in G. On the other hand, using the space $H_0^{\Gamma_0}$ as a space of averaging sums over Γ, implies that the spaces of Γ-invariant vectors that we are considering in the theorem, correspond to the spaces of automorphic forms.

The advantage of the approach considered in the theorem, is the fact that we have concrete formulae for the unitary representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$, directly described in the terms of the original representations π_n and its interaction with $P_0 M_{\chi_F}$. This will be used later in the paper for computations of traces and of characters of the associated unitary representations.

Proof of Theorem 33. We use the fact that the sum in formula (48), Lemma 31 determines a finite dimensional projection. Then the scalar product in formula 50 is further equal to

$$\langle P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\big(\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_0}\pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1\big), P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}\big(\sum_{\gamma_0'\in\Gamma_0}\pi_0(\gamma_0')h_2\big)\rangle = \tag{51}$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}h_1, \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}h_2\rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}h_1, h_2\rangle$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}$ is a finite dimensional projection in L^{Γ_0}, this is a well defined scalar product on the space $H_0^{\Gamma_0}$.

The fact that for $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \in S$, $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$, the inclusions $H_0^{\Gamma_0} \subseteq H_0^{\Gamma_1}$ are isometric, is proved exactly as in Proposition 20. The statement (ii) is a straightforward consequence of the formula (50) in the definition of the scalar product on Γ_0 invariant vectors. It corresponds, to the independence from the choice of the fundamental domain, in the formula of the Peterson scalar product.

We prove the invariance assumption from point 3) in Lemma 14. We will prove that $\pi_0^{\rm p}(\sigma), \sigma \in G$ maps $H_0^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$ isometrically onto $H_0^{\Gamma_\sigma}$. Fix as above h_1, h_2 in $\mathcal{D}_{L,\pi}$. Then, using formula 51, and using the construction of the representation $\pi_0^{\rm p}$, we have

$$\langle \pi_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)\big(\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1\big), \pi_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)\big(\sum_{\gamma_0'\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0')h_2\big)\rangle_\infty =$$

$$\langle \sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_\sigma}\pi_0(\gamma_0)\big(\pi_0(\sigma)h_1\big), \sum_{\gamma_0'\in\Gamma_\sigma}\pi_0(\gamma_0')\big(\pi_0(\sigma)h_2\big)\rangle_\infty =$$

$$\langle P_{L^{\Gamma_\sigma}}\big[\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_\sigma}\pi_0(\gamma_0)\big(\pi_0(\sigma)h_1\big)\big], \big(\pi_0(\sigma)h_2\big)\rangle.$$

Since the $\pi_0(\sigma)$ is a unitary on H_0, this is further equal to

$$\langle\big[\pi(\sigma^{-1})P_{L^{\Gamma_\sigma}}\pi(\sigma)\big]\pi_0(\sigma^{-1})\big[\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_\sigma}\pi_0(\gamma_0)\big(\pi_0(\sigma)h_1\big)\big], h_2\big)\rangle \tag{52}$$

In the preceding formula $\pi(\sigma^{-1})P_{L^{\Gamma_\sigma}}\pi(\sigma)$ is the projection onto the space $\widetilde{L} = \pi(\sigma^{-1})L^{\Gamma_\sigma}$. This is a $\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}$ wandering, generating subspace for the the representation $\pi|_{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$. Denote by $P_{\widetilde{L}}$ the orthogonal projection onto $\widetilde{L}$. Then the term in formula (52) is further equal to

$$\langle P_{\widetilde{L}}\big(\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1\big), h_2\rangle. \tag{53}$$

Because of the technical condition (ii) in Definition 1, the subspace $\widetilde{L}$ is equivalent to the subspace $L^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$ in the sense of point (iii), in the present statement. Hence by point (iii), the term in formula (53) is further equal to

$$\langle P_{L^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}}\big(\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1\big), h_2\rangle =$$

$$\langle \sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0)h_1, \sum_{\gamma_0'\in\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}\pi_0(\gamma_0')h_2\rangle_\infty.$$

By the above chain of equalities, because of the definition of the scalar product in point (i) we have proved that $\pi_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)$ maps $H_0^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}}$ isometrically onto $H_0^{\Gamma_\sigma}$. More generally, for $\Gamma_0 \in S$, the same type of argument gives that $\pi_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)$ maps $H_0^{\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}}\cap\Gamma_0}$ onto $H_0^{\Gamma_\sigma\cap\sigma\Gamma_0\sigma^{-1}}$. Hence the invariance condition in point 3) from Definition 14 holds true in the present case. Point (iv) is a straightforward consequence of the previous argument.

□

In the next theorem, we describe the unitary equivalent representation of the Hecke operators acting on the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors that were introduced in the preceding theorem. We will prove below that the projection $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L} \in B(L^{\Gamma_0})$, introduced in formula (48), is unitarily equivalent to a different projection, introduced in formula (56). This is useful for computing the dimensions of Γ_0-invariant vectors. This is because we are proving that the range of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}$, which is a subspace of L^{Γ_0}, is unitarily equivalent to the space of vectors associated to H_0 that are fixed by Γ_0.

The reproducing kernel formula for the projection onto the space of automorphic forms, and the reproducing kernel formula for the Hecke operators, introduced in [53], proves that the spaces $H_0^{\Gamma_0}$, and the corresponding action of the Hecke operators, on the spaces of Γ_0-invariant vectors, introduced in the previous proposition, and in the next theorem, are the same (in the case of the upper halfplane) with the ones in the classical case.

Theorem 34. *We assume the context of the previous theorem. Let $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 21, the representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_0(\Gamma_0, G)$ associated with the representation π_0 is defined by the correspondence*

$$[\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0] \to [\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma] P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}, \quad \sigma \in G. \tag{54}$$

Then
(i) Consider the following infinite sums over cosets of Γ_0, which because of conditions (v), (vi) in Definition 1, are convergent:

$$A_0(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0) = \sum_{\theta \in \Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \pi_0(\theta) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}, \quad \sigma \in G. \tag{55}$$

Then

$$A_0(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0) = \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L} A_0(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0) \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L},$$

and hence $A_0(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0)$ belongs to $B(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L} L^{\Gamma_0})$.
(ii) The correspondence

$$[\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0] \to A_0(\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0), \quad \sigma \in G,$$

determines a $*$-representation of $\mathcal{H}_0(\Gamma_0, G)$ into $B(P_{\Gamma_0,L} L^{\Gamma_0})$. This representation is unitarily equivalent to the $*$-representation (see the above formula (54)) of the Hecke algebra by means of Hecke operators associated with representation π_0.

Note that, in particular, the operator $A(\Gamma_0) = \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0,L}$ is unitarily equivalent to the projection on Γ_0 invariant vectors.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 22. As in Theorem 22 and its proof, using formula (50) for the scalar product, we may define define partial isometries $W^{\Gamma_0} : L^{\Gamma_0} \to H^{\Gamma_0}$ for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ by

$$W^{\Gamma_0} l = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \pi_0(\gamma) l, \quad l \in L^{\Gamma_0}.$$

Differently from the case considered in Theorem 22, the operators W^{Γ_0} are partial isometries, having as initial space the projection $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L}$, introduced in formula (48) and images equal to the spaces H^{Γ_0}, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$.

We use the partial isometry W^{Γ_0}, with initial space the projection $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L}$ in $B(L^{\Gamma_0})$. Then W^{Γ_0} transforms unitarily the Hecke operator $P_{H^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}^{\rm p}(\sigma) P_{H^{\Gamma_0}}$, $\sigma \in G$ into the expression in formula (55).

Formula (49) proves that for all $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\sigma \in G$, we have

$$A_0(\Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0) = A_0(\Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0) \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L} = \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L} A_0(\Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0).$$

The same formula proves that the operators

$$A_0(\Gamma_0 \sigma \Gamma_0), \quad \sigma \in G,$$

determine a representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets of Γ_0 in G. □

The previous proposition gives an explicit representation of the Hecke operators, associated to the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ of $\overline{G}$ into $\overline{H}_0^{\rm p}$, by directly using the information from the original representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$. We summarize this in Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let L^{Γ_0} be the subspace defined in formula (43), endowed with the normalized scalar product defined in formula (44). Using Theorem 34, the proof of the formula (4) becomes identical to the proof of the corresponding formula (46) in Theorem 22. We use the choice of coset representatives from the statement. In passing from formula (55) to formula (4), one simply uses the unitary operator

$$\ell^2(\Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma) \otimes L \cong \oplus [\Gamma_0 s_i] \otimes L, \quad L^{\Gamma_0} = \oplus \pi(s_i) L,$$

mapping $\oplus [\Gamma_0 s_i] \otimes l_i$ onto $\oplus \pi(s_i) l_i$, for $l_i \in L$.

□

When σ is the identity in the formula (4), we obtain a projection

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Gamma_0,L} = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\gamma \in s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0 s_j} P_L \pi_0(\gamma) P_L \otimes e_{\Gamma_0 s_i, \Gamma_0 s_j}. \tag{56}$$

Consequently, the operators in formula (4) belong to the algebra

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Gamma_0,L}\big[B(l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma)) \otimes B(L)\big]\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Gamma_0,L}.$$

Remark 35. We use the context of the previous theorem. Because of formula (4) one equivalent method to construct the representation of the Hecke operators in formula (4) is as follows: consider, as in Example 27, the vector space

$$\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0} = l^2(\Gamma_0\backslash G), \quad \Gamma_0 \in S.$$

On $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_0}$ we introduce the scalar product defined as the linear extension of the following bilinear form

$$\langle \Gamma_0\sigma_1, \Gamma_0\sigma_2\rangle_{\pi_0} = \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]} \sum_{\theta \in \sigma_1^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma_2} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi_0(\theta)P_L), \quad \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G.$$

For $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, we consider the usual algebraic representation of the Hecke operators on $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$. Considering on $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma_0\backslash G)$ the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\pi_0}$ introduced in the above formula, we obtain a unitary equivalent representation the Hecke algebra to the representation introduced in formula (4).

This corresponds to considering the state ε on $C^*(\overline{G})$, defined by

$$\varepsilon(\chi_{\sigma_1^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma_2}) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]} \sum_{\theta \in \sigma_1^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma_2} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi_0(\theta)P_L), \quad \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G.$$

The state ε can not be simultaneously used at all levels $\Gamma_0 \in S$ because of the renormalization factor $\frac{1}{[\Gamma:\Gamma_0]}$. Note that the state ε is in fact the composition of the trace with the family of completely positive maps constructed in Theorem 37.

6. The values of the character θ_{π_0} associated with representation π_0

In this section we derive a trace formula for the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$. We note that $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ is a type I representation of the C^*-algebra $C^*(\overline{G})$. As we noted in Proposition 17, the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ extends to a representation of $\mathcal{A}(G,\overline{G})$, (or $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon(G,\overline{G})$ if a 2-cocycle is present). By Theorem 2, we have a

formula for the Hecke operator $P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}$, associated with the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$. As explained below, this relates the trace formula for the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$ with the trace formula for the representation π_0.

The following lemma is proved in ([12], formula (13)). Here we give a different proof.

Lemma 36. *The character character $\theta_{\overline{\pi_0{}^{\rm p}}}$= "$\mathrm{Tr}\ \overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}$" of the representation $\overline{\pi_0{}^{\rm p}}$ is computed by the formula (7).*

Proof. Using the fact that the character is locally integrable ([47]) we have the following formula:

$$\theta_{\overline{\pi_0{}^{\rm p}}}(\sigma) = \lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{\mu(\overline{\Gamma_0}\sigma\overline{\Gamma_0})}\mathrm{Tr}\big(\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}\sigma\overline{\Gamma_0}})\big), \quad \sigma\in G \tag{57}$$

Clearly, using the notations introduced before the statement of Lemma 21, we have that

$$\mu(\overline{\Gamma_0}\sigma\overline{\Gamma_0}) = [\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]\mu(\overline{\Gamma_0}\sigma), \quad \Gamma_0\in S, \sigma\in G$$

Since the measure μ is obtained from the Haar measure on the profinite completion of Γ, and since, by the general assumptions, μ is bivariant on $\overline{G}$, this is further equal to

$$[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]\frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]}.$$

Hence, we continue the equality in the formula (57) with:

$$\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{[\Gamma : \Gamma_0]}{[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma]}\mathrm{Tr}\big(\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}\sigma\overline{\Gamma_0}})\big).$$

Using formula (39) in the statement of Remark 21, the above chain of equalities is continued with

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} [\Gamma : \Gamma_0]^2\mathrm{Tr}\big(\overline{\pi}_0^{p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}})\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}})\big)\\ &= \lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0\downarrow e\\ \Gamma_0\in\mathcal{S}}} \frac{1}{(\mu(\overline{\Gamma_0}))^2}\mathrm{Tr}\big(\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}})\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\sigma)\overline{\pi}_0^{\rm p}(\chi_{\overline{\Gamma_0}}))\big).\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

If K_0 is the closure of a subgroup in $\mathcal{S}$, then in $C^*(\overline{G})$, using the product of convolutor operators we have that $(\chi_{K_0})^2 = \mu(K_0)\chi_{K_0}$. Hence $\frac{1}{\mu(K_0)}\chi_{K_0}$ is a

projection. We denote by $\widetilde{\chi}_{K_0}$ the renormalized convolutor operator $\frac{1}{\mu(K_0)}\chi_{K_0}$. Thus the equality in formula (58) is continued with

$$\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \mathrm{Tr}(\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\overline{\Gamma}_0})\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\widetilde{\chi}_{\overline{\Gamma}_0})).$$

Since $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ is a representation of $C^*(G, \overline{G})$, this is equal to

$$\lim_{\substack{\Gamma_0 \downarrow e \\ \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}}} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma)P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}}).$$

□

Proof of Corollary 4. The formulae (7) and (5) imply immediately the conclusion of formula (8). We group together the terms in the sum in formula (8) according to classes of conjugation, and take the limit. The fact that the group Γ_g^{st} is trivial prevents any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to show up more than once in the sum in formula (8). The absolute convergence of the sums involved in the limiting process (Definition 1) implies the result in the second formula of the Corollary.

□

Proof of Corollary 7. Recall that for a group element $g \in G$, the stabilizer group Γ_g^{st} is $\{g|\gamma g = g\gamma\}$. Let σ be an element in $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ such that $\Gamma_\sigma^{\mathrm{st}}$ is trivial. Then, the expression in formula (9):

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}(P_L \pi_n(\gamma\sigma\gamma^{-1})),$$

may be computed directly by using Berezin's symbol function ([Be]).

Indeed, the above sum has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{B(H_n)}\big(M_{\chi_F}\pi_0(\gamma)\pi_0(\sigma)\pi_0(\gamma^{-1})\big) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{B(H_n)}\big(M_{\chi_F}\pi(\gamma)\pi_0(\sigma)\pi(\gamma^{-1})\big) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{B(H_n)}\big(\pi(\gamma^{-1})M_{\chi_F}\pi(\gamma)\pi_0(\sigma)\big) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}_{B(H_n)}\big(M_{\gamma\chi_F}\pi_0(\sigma)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu_0 = (\text{Im } z)^{-2} d\bar{z}dz$ be the canonical $\text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant measure on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $\widehat{\pi_n(\theta)}(\bar{z}, z)$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$, be the Berezin contravariant symbol ([Be]) of the unitary operator $\widehat{\pi_n(\theta)}$. Then the above chain of equalities is continued with the following equality:

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \int_{\gamma F} \widehat{\pi_n(\theta)}(\bar{z}, z) d\nu_0(z).$$

This sum is then

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} \widehat{\pi_n(\theta)}(\bar{z}, z),$$

This last term is the character "$\text{Tr } \pi_n(\sigma)$" of the representation π_n (see [Ne]). The formula for the above sum is also computed differently in [Za]. □

Proof of Lemma 5. Denote the Hilbert space on which the representation $\overline{\pi}_0^R$ acts by H_0^R. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}_{B(H_0^R)} \left(\int_{\overline{G}^R} f(g) \overline{\pi}_0^R(g) dg \right) &= \sum_\gamma \text{Tr}_{B(H_0^R)} \left(P_{[\pi(\gamma)L]} \int_{\overline{G}^R} f(g) \overline{\pi}_0^R(g) dg \right) \\
&= \sum_\gamma \int_{\overline{G}^R} f(g) \text{Tr}_{B(H_0^R)} (P_{\pi(\gamma)L} \overline{\pi}_0^R(g)) dg \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \int_{\overline{G}^R} f(g) \text{Tr}_{B(L)} (P_L \overline{\pi}_0^R(\gamma g \gamma^{-1}) P_L) dg \\
&= \int_{\overline{G}^R} f(g) \sum_\gamma \text{Tr}_{B(L)} (P_L \overline{\pi}_0^R(\gamma g \gamma^{-1}) P_L) dg.
\end{aligned}$$

The second part of the statement is a consequence of Corollary 4. □

7. The case when the representation π admits a "square root" $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\text{op}}$

In this section we analyze the case where a unitary representation π as in Section 3 admits a square root $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\text{op}}$, where π_0 is a (projective) unitary representation as in Section 5. Since the notation $\overline{\pi}^{\text{p}}$ is reserved to denote the extension of the representation π to the Schlichting completion, we will use in this section the notation π^{op} to denote the conjugate representation of π_0.

This is the situation of Example 28, Section 3, when $G = \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$, p a prime, Γ is the modular group, $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{H}$, and π_{Koop} is the Koopmann representation on $L^2(\mathbb{H}, \nu_0)$ corresponding to the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ by Möbius transformations on the upper halfplane. By Berezin's quantization techniques ([3]), independently noted in[3] (see also [39]), we have

$$\pi_{\mathrm{Koop}} = \pi_n \otimes \pi_n^{\mathrm{op}}, \quad n \geqslant 1,$$

where π_n is any representation in the discrete series of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We will use this as a motivation to analyze directly representations of the form $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\mathrm{op}}$, where π_0 is as in the previous section.

Before proceeding to this analysis, we note one additional property, common to all the representations $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$, $\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}$ constructed in the previous two sections. We will prove that the above representations are in one to one correspondence with the completely positive maps Φ, $*$-representations of the operator system introduced in Definition 14.

We introduce the following notations: If $g \in G$, we let $L_g \in C^*(G)$ be the convolutor with g. If f is a function in $C(\overline{G})$ we denote by $L_f \in C^*(\overline{G})$ the operator of convolution with f. Such a representation plays the role of an "operator valued eigenvector" for the Hecke algebra.

Indeed, we prove in particular the following property of the map Φ. If σ_1, $\sigma_2 \in G$, and

$$[\Gamma\sigma_1\Gamma][\Gamma\sigma_2] = \sum_j [\Gamma\theta_j],$$

then

$$\Phi(L_{\chi_{[\Gamma\sigma_1\Gamma]}})\Phi(L_{\chi_{[\Gamma\sigma_2]}}) = \sum_j \Phi(L_{\chi_{[\Gamma\theta_j]}}).$$

If Φ would take scalar values, then the above property would be exactly the property that an eigenvalue for the action of the Hecke algebra on $\ell^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ would have.

Let $\mathcal{A}_0(G, \overline{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(G, \overline{G})$ be the dense subalgebra generated by convolution operators with elements in G and by convolution operators with characteristic functions of cosets of subgroups in $\mathcal{S}$. We denote in this section, by $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_0, L}$, the projection introduced in formula (48), corresponding to $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$.

Theorem 37. *Assume that π_0 is a representation of G, as in Definition 1. We define a linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_0(G, \overline{G}) \to B(L)$, by the following formula.*

Let $g \in G$, $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $g\overline{\Gamma}_0$ be the corresponding coset. We define

$$\Phi(L_{\chi_{g\overline{\Gamma}_0}}) = \sum_{\theta \in g\Gamma_0} P_L \pi_0(\theta) P_L \tag{59}$$
$$\Phi(L_g) = P_L \pi(L_g) P_L.$$

Then Φ has the following properties:
(i) $\Phi|_{\mathcal{O}(K,G)}$ is a $*$-representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}(K,G)$ introduced in Definition 8, with values in $B(L)$.
(ii) Consider the vector space $L(K,\overline{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(K,G)$ introduced in the definition mentioned above.

Then $\Phi|_{L(K,\overline{G})}$ takes values in $B(L)\mathcal{P}_{\pi_0,L}$ and $\Phi|_{\mathcal{H}_0(K,\overline{G})}$ is $*$-algebra representation of $\mathcal{H}_0(K,\overline{G})$ into $\mathcal{P}_{\pi_0,L}B(L)\mathcal{P}_{\pi_0,L}$. Consequently, $\Phi|_{\mathcal{O}(K,G)}$ takes values in $B(L)\mathcal{P}_{\pi_0,L}B(L)$.
(iii) Φ is a completely positive map on $\mathcal{A}_0(G,\overline{G})$, that is it maps positive elements of the form $X^*X, X \in \mathcal{A}_0(G,\overline{G})$, into positive elements.

Proof. The statement (i) is a consequence of formula (49). Formula (18) in Lemma 9, combined with Proposition 41, also provide a proof of statement (i). The statement (ii) is a consequence of statement (i). Using the fact that property (i) proves the positivity of the map Φ on positive elements X^*X, where $X \in \mathbb{C}(\chi_{\sigma K}|\sigma \in G)$. For subgroups $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, we argue as follows. We let K_0 be the closure in $\overline{G}$ of Γ_0. We use Lemma 38 first to establish the positivity of $\Phi_{\Gamma_0}(X_0^*X_0)$ for X_0 in $\mathbb{C}(\chi_{\sigma K_0}|\sigma \in G)$. The reduction formula (60) implies that $\Phi(X_0^*X_0)$ is also positive.

□

The above theorem may be easily generalized by replacing Γ with a subgroup $\Gamma_0 \in S$. In this case, there is an obvious relation between the corresponding operator system representations.

Lemma 38. *We use the definitions and notations from the previous theorem. Let Γ_0 be any subgroup in $\mathcal{S}$, and let L^{Γ_0} be as in Theorem 33. Then, using L^{Γ_0} instead of L, one may repeat the above construction for Γ_0 instead of Γ. The corresponding completely positive map Φ_{Γ_0} constructed in the previous statement will have the same properties as Φ, replacing $\Gamma_0, \overline{\Gamma_0}$ for Γ, K.*

We embed L into $L_0^\Gamma = \bigoplus_i \pi(s_i)L \subseteq H_0$ by mapping l in L into the vector $l \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \ldots$. Note that this is not the diagonal embedding of L into L_0^Γ that we use in Proposition 33. We denote by $\widetilde{P}_L$ the projection from L^{Γ_0} onto L.

Then

$$\Phi = \widetilde{P}_L \Phi_{\Gamma_0} \widetilde{P}_L. \tag{60}$$

Proof. This is straightforward from formula (59). □

Remark 39. The operators $\Phi(\chi_{\sigma K}) \in B(L)$, $\sigma \in G$ are not isometries, as Φ is not a $*$-algebra representation. However, as we show below, the operators $\Phi(\chi_{\sigma K})$ are the product of a projection with an isometry.

Indeed, for $\sigma \in G$, the partial isometry $L(\chi_{\sigma K})$ has initial space the projection $L(\chi_{\sigma K \sigma^{-1}})$ and range $L(\chi_K)$.

Consider the spaces $L^{\Gamma_0}, \Gamma_0 \in S$ introduced in the statement of Definition 19. The spaces L^{Γ_0} were defined in the above mentioned definition only for Γ_0 a subgroup of Γ. We define $L^{\sigma\Gamma\sigma^{-1}} \subseteq L^{\Gamma_\sigma}$ by the formula $L^{\sigma\Gamma\sigma^{-1}} = \pi_0(\sigma)L$. Consequently:

$$\overline{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}(L(\chi_{\sigma K})) = P_{L^{\sigma\Gamma\sigma^{-1}}} \overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}(L(\chi_{\sigma K}) P_L.$$

On the other hand, using the skewed embedding of L in L^{Γ_σ} from Lemma 38, we obtain that

$$\Phi(\chi_{\sigma K}) = \widetilde{P}_L \overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}(L(\chi_{\sigma K})) P_L.$$

Here the projection P_L corresponds to the standard embedding of L into L^{Γ_σ}, as described in the statement of Definition 19 and $\widetilde{P}_L$ is the projection from the previous statement.

The completely positive maps constructed in Theorem 37 are the building blocks of the Hecke operators. In the next result we prove that a representation as in Theorem 37 encodes all the properties of the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$. Hence, given Φ, we may recover the representation $\overline{\pi_0}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and hence the representation π.

Proposition 40. *In the context of Theorem 37, the formula for the Hecke operators introduced in Theorem* 33 *is as follows. Fix* Γ_0 *in* $\mathcal{S}$ *and choose the coset decomposition* $\Gamma = \bigcup s_i \Gamma_0$. *Then*

$$[\Gamma_0 : (\Gamma_0)_\sigma] P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} \overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}(\sigma) P_{H_0^{\Gamma_0}} = \sum_{i,j} \Phi(\chi_{\overline{s_i^{-1}\Gamma_0\sigma\Gamma_0 s_j}}) \otimes e_{\Gamma_0 s_i, \Gamma_0 s_j}, \ \sigma \in G. \tag{61}$$

Consequently, there exists a one to one correspondence between the representation π_0 as in Definition 1 and completely positive map Φ with the properties (i), (ii), (iii) in Theorem 37.

Proof. The formula (61) is simply formula (55) in Theorem 34 rewritten in the new context, by using formula (59) in Theorem 37.

Assume the properties (i), (ii), (iii) in Theorem 37. We prove that the operators in formula (61) define a representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets for all $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Ultimately, the verifications of the multiplicativity of the Hecke operators, given in formula (61), come to identities of the form:

$$\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \pi_0(\sigma_1\gamma_0) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} (\pi_0(\gamma_0^{-1}\sigma_2)) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} = P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} (\pi_0(\sigma_1\sigma_2)) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}. \tag{62}$$

The reason for which this equality holds true, is that $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}}$ is the projection on a Γ_0-wandering, generating subspace of H (see also the proof of Lemma 9). Thus, the only identity because of which the representation in formula (61) is a representation of the Hecke algebra, is the identity:

$$\sum_{\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0} \pi(\gamma_0) P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} \pi(\gamma_0^{-1}) = \mathrm{Id}_{H_0}.$$

Decomposing $P_{L^{\Gamma_0}} = \sum_i \pi(s_i) P_L \pi(s_i)$, this is implied by the identity:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \pi(\gamma) P_L \pi(\gamma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Id}_{H_0}.$$

But this is exactly the identity proving the multiplicativity property (ii) in Theorem 37.

Thus if we know that Φ is multiplicative, as in property (ii) in the previous theorem, then we automatically have that the completely positive maps Φ_{Γ_0} verify the corresponding multiplicativity property in (ii) on the corresponding operators systems $\mathcal{O}(\overline{\Gamma_0}, \overline{G})$, for $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L}$ be the projection introduced in foromla (48).

Since the operator systems contain the corresponding Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_0(\overline{\Gamma_0}, \overline{G})$, it follows that the representation in formula (61) is a $*$-algebra representation of the inductive limit of all the above Hecke algebras into the inductive limit of the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L} B(L^{\Gamma_0}) \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma_0, L}$. But this inductive limit is exactly the space of bounded operators acting on the Hilbert space $\overline{H}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$. Since along with the Hecke algebras we also have a representation of the spaces of

cosets, it follows that we have reconstructed the unitary representation $\overline{\pi}_0^{\mathrm{p}}$ of $C^*(\overline{G})$. Hence we may recover π_0, because of Theorem 37.

□

In the following statements (Lemma 9, Proposition 41 and Proposition 10) we recall results from [Ra2]. We adapt the statement of the results to the present framework. In the next lemma we prove a result complementing the statement in Theorem 37. We prove that the completely positive maps in Theorem 37 have a natural lifting to the algebra $\mathcal{L}(G) \otimes B(L)$. This lifting was essential tool in proving, in the paper [Ra], the essential norm estimates on the spectrum of the Hecke operators. In particular, we give an alternative interpretation for property (ii) in Theorem 37.

Proof of Lemma 9. This was also proved in ([37], Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.1). The main step of the proof of the multiplicativity property in formula (18) is the following: by identifying the coefficients of $\rho(g)$, $g \in G$, in both sides of the equation, one reduces the proof of the multiplicativity property to the following equality (also used in the proof of Proposition 40) :

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} P_L\pi_0(\sigma_1\gamma)P_L\pi_0(\gamma^{-1}\sigma_2)P_L = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} P_L\pi_0(\sigma_1)\pi(\gamma)P_L\pi(\gamma^{-1})\pi_0(\sigma_2)P_L$$
$$= P_L\pi_0(\sigma_1)\pi_0(\sigma_2)P_L = P_L\pi_0(\sigma_1\sigma_2)P_L, \quad \sigma_1,\sigma_2 \in G.$$

□

The operators $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ introduced in Definition 8 have a similar interpretation as in Remark 39. Moreover, because of the convergence assumptions in the statement of Theorem 33, for the sums of the form $\sum_{\theta\in C} P_L\pi_0(\theta)P_L$ with cosets C in $\overline{G}$, the operators $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(C)$ are liftings of the operators $\Phi(C)$ in the Proposition 37.

This is proved in the following statement. The statement is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 37 and of Lemma 9. We mention the statement as a separate lemma since it also provides a different proof for Theorem 37.

Lemma 41. *Let ε be the unbounded character ε on $\ell^1(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$ which associates to x in $\ell^1(G)$ the sum of its coefficients. We extend ε to an unbounded character $\widetilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}$,*

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon} : \ell^1(G) \otimes B(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(G) \otimes B(L) \to B(L).$$

The convergence assumption in Definition 1 implies that the image of the $*$-representation $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$, constructed in Lemma 9, is contained in the domain of $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$. Consequently, with Φ as in Theorem 37, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}|_{\mathcal{O}(K,\overline{G})} = \Phi|_{\mathcal{O}(K,\overline{G})}$$

Proof. This is straightforward from the formulae of Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ from Theorem 37 and, respectively, from Lemma 9.

□

The operators $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ are used to construct a unitary equivalent representation (see formula (19) for the Hecke operators associated to the unitary, diagonal representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ of G, where π_0 is as in Definition 1). This was first proved (in the case of Murray-von Neumann dimension equal to 1) in [36], Theorem 22 (see also [38] for a more concise exposition), and then generalized to arbitrary dimension in [37], Theorem 3.2. For the convenience of the reader, since we are explaining the example of the Hecke algebra representation associated with the unitary representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ of G, we recall the statement of Theorem 3.2 in [37]. In Theorem 12 we provide an alternative proof of the fact that formula (19) gives a representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets of Γ in G.

We use the identifications proved in Example 30 and the operators $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$ introduced in Lemma 9, to explicitly describe the Hecke operators on Γ-invariant vectors associated the unitary, diagonal representation $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ of G. In this case, the Hilbert spaces of Γ-invariant vectors are easier to handle, since we may canonically identify these spaces with the L^2-spaces of the von Neumann algebra of operators commuting with the image of the representation of the group Γ.

In [36], by using Berezin's quantization methods ([3]), or alternatively using the results in [42] we prove that the above model for the Hecke operators acting on Γ-invariant vectors for $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ is unitarily equivalent to the representation of the Hecke operators of the associated von Neumann algebra of Maass forms.

The content of Theorem 10 is the explicit operator algebra model of the former representation associated with $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$. This theorem was obtained in the case of Murray von Neumann dimension 1 in ([36], Theorem 22) and generalized to arbitrary dimension in (citeRa1, Theorem 3.2). We have adapted the statement of the theorem to the framework of the present paper.

Proof of Theorem 10. This is Theorem 3.2 in [37]. The present framework proves that, once a canonical L is chosen for the representation π, the representation of the Hecke operators for $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$ becomes canonical. □

We are giving a direct proof, in the particular case $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H = 1$, (Theorem 12) of the fact the Hecke operators introduced in Theorem 10, formula (19), define a multiplicative representation of the Hecke algebra of double cosets of Γ in G. The proof will show that the Hecke algebra representation determined by the Hecke operators for $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0^{\rm op}$, is obtained from a canonical representation of the Hecke algebra, that is further composed with a quotient map. For simplicity of the exposition we assume in the rest of the paper that the groups Γ and G have infinite, non-trivial conjugacy classes, and hence that the associated von Neumann algebras have unique traces.

Remark 42. Assume that $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''} H = 1$. In the setting of Theorem 22 in Section 3, we take $L = L_0 = \mathbb{C}\xi$ for a cyclic, trace vector $\xi \in H_0$, for $\pi_0|_\Gamma$. The construction in Lemma 9, gives a linear map $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0, L_0}$, which we now denote by t:

$$t : \mathcal{H}_{\rm red}(\Gamma, G) \to \mathcal{R}(G) \otimes B(L_0) \cong \mathcal{R}(G).$$

Since L_0 is one dimensional, and using the vector ξ to identify $L_0 = \mathbb{C}\xi$ with $\mathbb{C}$, it follows that we may substitute $P_{L_0}\pi_0(\theta)P_{L_0}$ by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_{L_0}\pi_0(\theta)P_{L_0}) = \langle \pi_0(\theta)\xi, \xi\rangle, \quad \theta \in G.$$

For a coset C of a subgroup in $\mathcal{S}$, the formula for $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0, L_0}(\chi_C)$ from Lemma 9 is now

$$t(\chi_C) = \sum_{\theta \in C} \langle \pi_0(\theta)\xi, \xi\rangle \rho(\theta).$$

We compose t with the canonical anti-isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{R}(G)$. For simplicity we denote the composition map also by t. Thus t is a linear map from $\mathrm{C}^*(G)$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(G)$. We denote by λ_g the left convolutors by elements $g \in G$. Then t is given by the formula

$$t(\chi_C) = \sum_{\theta \in C} \overline{\langle \pi_0(\theta)\xi, \xi\rangle} \lambda(\theta).$$

Because of Lemma 9, t is a $*$-preserving, multiplicative representation of the operator system $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, G} = \mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ introduced in Definition 8:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, G} = \big[\mathrm{Sp}\{\chi_{\sigma_1 K} | \sigma_1 \in G\}\big]\big[\mathrm{Sp}\{\chi_{\sigma_2 K} | \sigma_2 \in G\}\big]^*.$$

We use a notational convention, denoting the characteristic functions $\chi_{\sigma_1 K}$, $\chi_{K\sigma_2}$, $\chi_{\sigma_1 K\sigma_2}$ simply by the corresponding cosets in G: respectively $\sigma_1\Gamma$, $\Gamma\sigma_2$, $\sigma_1\Gamma\sigma_2$, for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$. Thus the $*$-preserving, multiplicativity property for $t|_{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,G}}$ reads as

$$t(\sigma_1\Gamma)t(\sigma_2^{-1}\Gamma)^* = t(\sigma_1\Gamma)t(\Gamma\sigma_2) = t(\sigma_1\Gamma\sigma_2), \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G.$$

Remark 43. In practice, it is difficult to find a cyclic trace vector ξ as above. So it is preferable to use the construction from Section 5, Theorem 33. Thus π_0 comes from a larger representation π of G into the unitary group of a Hilbert space H, by restricting to a space $H_0 \subseteq H$, that is invariant under $\pi(G)$. In this case we use a choice of Γ-wandering, generating subspace L for $\pi|_\Gamma$. In the case of the analytic discrete series of unitary representations $\pi_n, n \geqslant 1$ of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, a choice described as above is almost canonical, as it consists into the selection of a fundamental domain for the action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}$.

To obtain straightforwardly the representation t from $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}$, one proceeds directly as follows ([37]) : Consider the conditional expectations

$$E^{\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L)}_{\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}}, \quad E^{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L)}_{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}}$$

from $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L)$, and respectively $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L)$, onto the algebras $\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}$, and respectively $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}$. The conditional expectations are simply computed by taking the operatorial trace on the tensor factor corresponding to $B(L)$.

For a coset C as in the previous remark, we have

$$\widetilde{t}(\chi_C) = E^{\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L)}_{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\pi_0,L}(\chi_C)) = \sum_{\theta\in C}\mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi_0(\theta))\rho(\theta). \tag{63}$$

We use the formula (17) for the projection P_0 and define

$$\xi_0 = E^{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes B(L)}_{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}}(P_0) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi_0(\gamma))\rho(\gamma).$$

Since $\dim_{\{\pi_0(\Gamma)\}''}H_0 = 1$, and P_0 is a projection in $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ of trace 1, it follows that ξ_0 has zero kernel. Moreover ([37], Proposition 3.3) the conditional expectation map, corrected with the inverse of the square root of ξ_0, is a von Neumann algebra isomorphism when restricted to $P_0\mathcal{B}P_0$. Thus

$$\widetilde{E} = (\xi_0)^{-1/2}E^{\mathcal{R}(G)\otimes B(L)}_{\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{B(L)}}|_{P_0\mathcal{B}P_0}(\xi_0)^{-1/2}, \tag{64}$$

is a von Neumann algebra isomorphism $P_0\mathcal{B}P_0$ onto $\mathcal{R}(G)$. We define

$$t(\chi_C) = \widetilde{E}(\widetilde{t}(C)).$$

Then $t|_{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,G}}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, G)$ into $\mathcal{R}(G)$ (see Lemma 3.3 in [37] for the proof). Combining the formulae (63) and (64), we obtain the following alternative formula for the representation t from the previous remark:

$$t(\chi_C) = (\xi_0)^{-1/2}\big[\sum_{\theta\in C}\mathrm{Tr}(P_L\pi_0(\theta))\rho(\theta)\big](\xi_0)^{-1/2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 12. Fix $\sigma \in G$. Then the double coset $\Gamma\sigma\Gamma$ decomposes as $\bigcup \Gamma\sigma s_i = \bigcup r_j\sigma\Gamma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_K(L(\chi_{K\sigma K}) \otimes L(\chi_{K\sigma K})^{\mathrm{op}})\chi_K \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\big[L(\chi_{K\sigma s_i}) \otimes L(\chi_{K\sigma s_j})^{\mathrm{op}}\big]\chi_{s_i\sigma^{-1}K\sigma s_j^{-1}\bigcap K} \\
&= \sum_{a,b}\chi_{r_a\sigma K\sigma^{-1}r_b\bigcap K}\big[L(\chi_{r_a\sigma K}) \otimes L(\chi_{r_b\sigma K})^{\mathrm{op}}\big] \\
&= \sum_{i,j,a,b}\chi_{r_a\sigma K\sigma^{-1}r_b\bigcap K}\big[L(\chi_{r_a\sigma K_{\sigma^{-1}}s_i}) \otimes L(\chi_{r_b\sigma K_{\sigma^{-1}}s_j})^{\mathrm{op}}\big]\chi_{s_i\sigma^{-1}K\sigma s_j\bigcap K}.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Here $K_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is the closure in K of the subgroup $\Gamma_{\sigma^{-1}} = \sigma^{-1}\Gamma\sigma\bigcap\Gamma$.

Using the above equality, one proves immediately (see e.g. the computations in [36], Section 5, or [38]) that the linear map in the statement is multiplicative. This completes the proof of part (i) of the statement.

The representation t of the operator system $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,G} = \mathcal{O}(K, \overline{G})$ extends obviously to a representation $\widetilde{t}$ of the operator system

$$(L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)\mathrm{Sp}\{L(\chi_{\sigma_1 K})|\sigma_1 \in G\})(L^\infty(\overline{G}, \mu)\mathrm{Sp}\{L(\chi_{\sigma_2 K})|\sigma_2 \in G\})^*.$$

Then $\widetilde{t}$ extends to a "double" representation t_2 of an operator system contained in $C^*((G \times G^{\mathrm{op}}) \rtimes L^\infty(\overline{G}), \mu)$ containing the image of the Hecke algebra constructed in point (i). This concludes the proof of part (ii).

The important observation for the proof of part (iii) is the fact that all the operations that are involved in the multiplication of two elements of the form $\chi_K(L(\chi_{K\sigma_1 K}) \otimes L(\chi_{K\sigma_1 K})^{\mathrm{op}})\chi_K$ remain inside the domain of the representation t_2 (see the first equality in the chain of equalities in formula (65)). Indeed these operations involve only convolutions of the form

$$L(\chi_{\sigma_1 K})L(\chi_{K\sigma_2}), \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G,$$

or their opposites.

Consequently, the composition of t_2 with the map in the preceding lemma gives a representation of the Hecke algebra.

□

REFERENCES

[1] Andrianov, A. N.: Quadratic Forms and Hecke Operators, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, v. 286, Springer Verlag, 1987.

[2] Bekka, M. B., Curtis, R., de la Harpe, P.: Familles de graphes expanseurs et paires de Hecke, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 335, 463-468, 2002.

[3] Berezin, F. A.: Quantization. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 38, 1116-1175, 1974.

[4] Binder, M.: Induced factor representations of discrete groups and their types. J. Funct. Anal. 115, 294-312, 1993.

[5] Boca, F., Niţică, V.: Combinatorial properties of groups and simple C^*-algebras with a unique trace. J. Operator Theory 20, 183-196, 1988.

[6] Borel, A.: Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup. Inventiones Mathematicae, 35, 233-259, 1976.

[7] Bost, J.-B., Connes, A.: Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 1, 411-457, 1995.

[8] Bowen, L. Invariant random subgroups of the free group, preprint, Arxiv 1204.5939, last accessed March 2015.

[9] Bowen, L., Grigorchuk, R., Kravchenko, R.: Characteristic random subgroups of geometric groups and free abelian groups of infinite rank, preprint arxiv 1402.3705, last accessed March 2015.

[10] Brown, N., Ozawa N.: C*-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations, A.M.S., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 2008.

[11] Burger, M., Li, J.-S.; Sarnak, P.: Ramanujan duals and automorphic spectrum. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 26, 253-257 ,1992.

[12] Cartier, P.: Representations of p-adic groups. A survey, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. A.M.S., vol 33, pp 111- 155, 1979.

[13] Casselman, W.: Harmonic analysis of the Schwartz space of $\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(R)$, inContributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 163-192, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004

[14] Curtis, R. Hecke algebras associated with induced representations. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334, 31-35, 2002.

[15] Deligne, P.: La conjecture de Weil. I. (French) Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 43, 273-307. 1974.

[16] Dudko, A., Medynets, K.: Finite factor representations of Higman-Thompson groups, Groups Geom. Dyn. 8, 375-389, 2014.

[17] Gelfand, I. M., Graev, M. I., Pyatetskii-Shapiro, I.: Representation theory and automorphic functions. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1969

[18] Gelfand, I. M., Vilenkin, N. J.: Generalized Functions, vol. 4: Some Applications of Harmonic Analysis. Rigged Hilbert Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1964
[19] Goodman, F. M., de la Harpe, P., Jones, V. F. R.: Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications,14, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
[20] Haagerup, U., Steenstrup, T., Szwarc, R.: Schur multipliers and spherical functions on homogeneous trees. Internat. J. Math. 21, 1337-1382, 2010.
[21] Hall, R, Hecke C*-Algebras, Thesis, U. Penn, 1999.
[22] Harish-Chandra, Plancherel formula for the 22 real unimodular group. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 38, 337-342, 1952.
[23] Hecke, E.: Lectures on Dirichlet series, modular functions and quadratic forms, Gottingen, 1983.
[24] Hejhal, D. A.: The Selberg trace formula for PSL(2,R). Vol. I. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 548. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
[25] Jones, V. F. R.: Index for subfactors. Invent. Math. 72, 1-25, 1983.
[26] Kaliszewski, S., Magnus, L., Quigg, J.: Hecke C*-algebras and semi-direct products. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 52, 127-153, 2009.
[27] Kechris, A. S.: Unitary representations and modular actions. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 326 (2005), Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 13, 97-144, 281-282; translation in J. Math. Sci. 140, 398-425, 2007.
[28] Krieg, A.: Hecke algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1990), no. 435.
[29] Lang, S.: $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 105. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985
[30] Laca, M., Larsen, N., Neshveyev, S.: Phase transition in the Connes-Marcolli GL2-system. J. Noncommut. Geom. 1, 397-430, 2007.
[31] Maass, H.: Lectures on modular functions of one complex variable. With notes by Sunder Lal. Second edition. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, 29. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1983.
[32] Neretin, Y.: Plancherel Formula for Berezin Deformation of L2 on Riemannian Symmetric Space, Journal of Functional Analysis Volume 189, p. 336-408.
[33] Peterson, J. Thom, A.: Character rigidity for special linear groups, J. Reine Angewandte Math., published online 03/11/2014.
[34] Petersson, H.: Uber automorphe Formen mit Sungularitaten im Diskontinuitatsgebiet. Math. Ann. 129, 370-390, 1955.
[35] Pisier, G.: Introduction to operator space theory. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 294. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003
[36] Rădulescu, F.: Type II_1 von Neumann representations for Hecke operators on Maass forms and Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, Preprint arXiv:0802.3548, last accessed March 2015.
[37] Rădulescu, F.: Conditional expectations, traces, angles between spaces and representations of the Hecke algebras. Lib. Math. 33, 65-95, 2013.

[38] Rădulescu, F.: Free group factors and Hecke operators, notes taken by N. Ozawa, Proceedings of the 24th Conference in Operator Theory, Theta Advanced Series in Mathematics, Theta Foundation, 2014.
[39] Rădulescu, F.: The Γ-equivariant form of the Berezin quantization of the upper half plane. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 no. 630, 1998.
[40] Rădulescu, F.: The Operator Algebra content of the Ramanujan-Petersson Problem, Preprint arXiv:1306.4232, last accessed March 2015.
[41] Rădulescu, F.: On the countable, measure preserving relation induced on an homogeneous quotient, by the action of a discrete group, Complex Analysis and Representation Theory, Springer, Online First, 2014
[42] Repka J.: Tensor products of holomorphic discrete series. Canad. J. Math. 31, 836-844, 1979.
[43] Roe, J.: On the quasi-isometry invariance of L^2 Betti numbers. Duke Math. J. 59, 765-783, 1989.
[44] Sakai, S.: C*-algebras and W*-algebras, Springer, Classics in Mathematics, 2002 (reprint of original edition).
[45] Sarnak, P.: Some Applications of Modular Forms, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
[46] Sarnak, P.: Notes on the generalized Ramanujan conjectures. Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 659-685, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
[47] Sally, P. J. Jr., Shalika, J. A.: Characters of the discrete series of representations of SL(2) over a local field. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 61 1968 1231-1237
[48] Schlichting, G.: Operationen mit periodischen Stabilisatoren. Arch. Math. (Basel) 34 (1980), no. 2, 97-99.
[49] Shahidi, F.: L-functions and representation theory of p-adic groups. p-adic methods and their applications, 91-112, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1992.
[50] Takesaki, M.: Theory of operator algebras. I. Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 124. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[51] Tzanev, K.: Hecke C^*-algebras and amenability. J. Operator Theory 50, 169-178, 2003.
[52] Vershik, A.M.: Nonfree actions of countable groups and their characters. J. Math. Sci. 174, 1-6, 2011.
[VK] Vershik, A.M., Kerov, S.V.: Characters and factor representations of the infinite symmetric group. Sov. Math. Dokl. 23 , 389-392, 1981.
[53] Zagier, D.: Traces des opérateurs de Hecke. Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, 17e année. Théorie des nombres, Exp. No. 23, 1975/76.